



Editorial

Consent for publishing case reports in rheumatology[☆]

Consentimiento para publicación de casos clínicos en Reumatología

Carlos Pineda,* Hugo Sandoval

División de Enfermedades Musculoesqueléticas y Reumáticas, Instituto Nacional de Rehabilitación Luis Guillermo Ibarra Ibarra, Ciudad de México, Mexico



Introduction

A search in Scopus – the largest database of abstracts and citations in peer reviewed scientific journals in the world – shows that from 1990 to June 2018 the number of papers on the subject of consent for the publication of case reports (CPCR) amounted to 14 documents.^{1–14} Of this total, only four were peer reviewed papers published in indexed journals, as is shown in **Table 1**.

Within the sample analysed, such a small number of results clearly shows the relevance of the paper: “*The Patient Information Sheet (PIS) and Informed Consent (IC) for case reports and case series: Proposal for a standard model for presentations in congresses and other scientific publications*”, published by Juana Cruz del Río, Isabel Sasstre Gervás and Susana Romero Yuste, * in this edition of *Clinical Rheumatology*.

The authors propose a digital format as the bioethical support for: (1) the description of case reports and case series in conferences; (2) publication in journals that lack their own model, and (3) undertaking teaching activities in the health sector. With their proposal the authors seeks to comply with the right to privacy and the protection of patient data used in research in Spain.

This paper is not only relevant, as it is also opportune given that several medical journals have gradually ceased to publish case reports. They state that studies of this type affect levels of impact as

they lack quality standards, are quoted very infrequently and fail to comply with the ethical standards governing clinical research. The work presented here aims to correct these deficiencies to a certain degree.

Some challenges for Latin America

During the second half of the 20th century it became obligatory in several Western countries to revise the ethical aspects of experiments undertaken in human beings.¹⁵

Until 1966 medical research was routinely performed without seeking any type of consent from the patients subjected to research.¹⁶ As a part of developments in the this field, in 1996 the first paper and guides were published by the *International Committee of Medical Journal Editors* on CPCR.¹⁴

In spite of the progress made since then many challenges remain in this field, particularly in developing countries, where research ethics committees either do not exist or are in a precarious situation.

Given that consent depends on the context, this problem has to weigh sociocultural factors such as the age of participants, their educational level, language differences, coercion and the influence of patriarchal or traditional societies.¹⁷

The CPCR developed in Latin American countries also have to take into account the fact that sometimes researchers have to deal with highly vulnerable populations including children, women, the elderly, individuals with mental development disorders,¹⁸ illegal immigrants and native populations.

Within all of these contexts, guaranteeing the rights of research subjects who are illiterate, disabled or speakers of native languages, for example, usually requires the additional presence of an independent witness, a tutor or a translator to confirm that consent was given consciously and freely.

All of these considerations have to be taken into account when obtaining a CPCR, with the aim of minimising asymmetries between researchers and research subjects.¹⁹

The duty of rheumatologists to protect personal rights and dignity

To summarise: the CPCR, which has the aim of protecting research subjects against possible harm and exploitation during the publication of a study, must be contextualised within the

Table 1
Consent documents for publication in published case reports 1990–2018.

Document type	Number	Percentage
Paper	4	28.6
Editorial	4	28.6
Letter	4	28.6
Note	1	7.1
Review	1	7.1
Total	14	100

Source: <https://www-scopus.com>.

* Please cite this article as: Pineda C, Sandoval H. Consentimiento para publicación de casos clínicos en Reumatología. Reumatol Clin. 2018;14:181–182.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: carpineda@yahoo.com (C. Pineda).

sociocultural reality in each nation, especially when research to be published consists of a case report or case series in rural, marginalised or very poor communities.

In any case it must never be forgotten that in medical research projects undertaken by rheumatologists respect for the privacy, rights and intrinsic dignity of individuals is expressed by components such as the CPCR.

The above considerations mean that constant, respectful and fluid dialogue and communication are necessary between researchers, patients and their associations, regulatory bodies, health institutions and scientific journals. This has to be strengthened by suitable and solid bioethical training in undergraduate and graduate courses.^{20,21}

Conflict of interests

The authors have no conflict of interests to declare, and they have received no financing.

References

1. Aldridge RW. Simplifying consent for publication of case reports. *BMJ*. 2008;337:774.
2. Ameh D, Uchendu UO, Adeyemi OA, Ideh RC, Ebruke BE, Mackenzie G, et al. Is the absolute requirement for informed consent before HIV testing a barrier to public health? A case report and management challenges. *Afr J AIDS Res*. 2014;13:93–8.
3. Battershill P, Bayliff CD. Should informed consent be required to publish a case report? *Can J Hosp Pharm*. 2007;60:335–7.
4. Bernardes MJ, Nunes R. Patients' consent for publication of case reports: a literature review. *Arq Med*. 2013;27:58–64.
5. Gibson E. Publication of case reports: is consent required? *Paediatr Child Health (CAN)*. 2008;13:666–7.
6. Honan D. Consent for publication of a case report. *Anaesthesia*. 2004;59:1143.
7. Kidd MR, Hrynaszkiewicz I. Journal of medical case reports' policy on consent for publication. *J Med Case Rep*. 2010;4.
8. Pierson CA. Consent to publish medical case reports. *J Am Assoc Nurse Pract*. 2016;28:520.
9. Pineda C, Sandoval H. Case reports and consent for publishing in *Rheumatology* journals: a Latin American perspective. *J Clin Rheumatol*. 2018;24:224–7.
10. Rees M, Corson SL. Publication of medical case reports and consent. *Case Rep Women's Health*. 2017;15:A1–2.
11. Russell R. Case reports and consent to publication. *Int J Obstet Anesth*. 2016;28:1–2.
12. Saxena AK, Ghai B, Makkar JK. Patient's consent for publication of case report: need for developing a universal consent form. *Arch Dis Child*. 2006;91:717–8.
13. Tierney E, Bogod D. Consent for publication of a case report (multiple letters). *Anaesthesia*. 2004;59:822–3.
14. Vollmann J. Informed consent from the patient before publication of case reports new guidelines of the *International Committee of Medical Journal Editors* (Vancouver Group). *Nervenarzt*. 1996;67:422–6.
15. Mertens DM, Ginsberg PE. The handbook of social research ethics. California: Sage Publications Ltd.; 2009. Available from: <http://methods.sagepub.com/book/the-handbook-of-social-research-ethics>
16. Miller FG. Clinical research before informed consent. *Kennedy Inst Ethics J*. 2014;24:141–57.
17. Nakkash R, Qutteina Y, Nasrallah C, Wright K, El-Alti L, Makhoul J, et al. The practice of research ethics in Lebanon and Qatar: perspectives of researchers on informed consent. *J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics*. 2017;12:352–62.
18. Prusaczyk B, Cherney SM, Carpenter CR, DuBois JM. Informed consent to research with cognitively impaired adults: transdisciplinary challenges and opportunities. *Clin Gerontol*. 2017;40:63–73.
19. Aaltonen S. Challenges in gaining and re-gaining informed consent among young people on the margins of education. *Int J Soc Res Methodol*. 2017;20: 329–41.
20. Regmi PR, Aryal N, Kurmi O, Pant PR, van Teijlingen E, Wasti SP. Informed consent in health research: challenges and barriers in low- and middle-income countries with specific reference to Nepal. *Dev World Bioeth*. 2017;17:84–9.
21. Upjohn M, Wells K. Challenges associated with informed consent in low- and low-middle-income countries. *Front Vet Sci*. 2016;3:92.