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Interstitial lung disease related to rheumatoid arthritis: Evolution after treatment
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A B S T R A C T

Objective: To describe the evolution of lung function in a cohort of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients with interstitial lung disease (ILD) treated according to the medical judgment of attending physicians.

Methods: Retrospective cohort of RA patients with ILD, defined by a restrictive pattern in lung function tests and evidence of ILD in high resolution computed tomography (HRCT). Patients had an assessment of lung function including spirometry, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO), and HRCT. At a minimum of 4 months of follow up, a second assessment of lung function was done. All patients received a high dose of prednisone (1 mg/kg/day) scheme for 6 weeks with a reduction scheme ending with a dose of 10 mg/day of prednisone at about 6–8 months of follow up. Methotrexate was used in 18/40 (45%) patients and leflunomide or azathioprine or both were indicated in 22/40 (55%).

Results: Forty patients were identified. An indeterminate pattern with diffuse ground glass and reticulation images (50%) was the most prevalent pattern on HRCT scans. At a minimum of 4 months of follow up, an improvement in basal FVC values was observed (median (IQR)) 1.47 Lts. (0.99–1.91) vs 1.66 Lts. (1.37–2.1)), P<0.004. Patients with lower Kazerooni scores for fibrosis (<0.47) had a better improvement in the FVC values.

Conclusions: Patients with RA and ILD may have an improvement in the FVC after a treatment with high doses of corticosteroids and disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs).

© 2011 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

La enfermedad pulmonar intersticial relacionada con la artritis reumatoide: evolución después del tratamiento

R E S U M E N

Objetivo: Describir la evolución de la función pulmonar en una cohorte de pacientes con enfermedad pulmonar intersticial asociada a la artritis reumatoide (EPI-AR), tratados de acuerdo al juicio de sus médicos tratantes.

Métodos: Estudio de cohorte retrospectivo de pacientes con EPI-AR, demostrada con un patrón restrictivo en las pruebas de función pulmonar, y de enfermedad pulmonar intersticial en las tomografías de alta resolución (HRCT). Los pacientes tuvieron una evaluación basal de la función pulmonar que incluyó espirometría, DLCO y HRCT. En un mínimo de 4 meses, una segunda evaluación de la función pulmonar fue realizada. Todos los pacientes recibieron una dosis alta de prednisona (1 mg/kg/día) por 6 semanas con un esquema de reducción, con una dosis de prednisona de 10 mg/día a los 6 u 8 meses de seguimiento. Se prescribió metotrexate en 18/40 (45%) pacientes, leflunomida o azatioprina, o ambas en 22/40 (55%) pacientes.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a systemic inflammatory autoimmune disease that can cause interstitial lung and airway disease.\(^1\) Lung involvement confers a poor prognosis and it is an important cause of death in RA patients.\(^2,3\) A cohort study described that the cumulative incidence for interstitial lung disease (ILD) in RA is 7.8%.\(^4\) Nowadays, there is no consensus of how patients should be treated. It has been proposed that the American Thoracic Society/European Respiratory Society (ATS/ERS) classification for idiopathic interstitial pneumonias should be used to classify RA patients with interstitial lung disease, and there is evidence that the tomographic pattern of lung disease may be an important prognostic factor.\(^5-8\) This study was done with the aim to describe the evolution of lung function at the 6 months of follow up after baseline in a cohort of RA patients with ILD, treated according to the medical judgment of the attending physician and to describe if there is a difference in the response to treatment according to the tomography findings.

Methods

This is a retrospective cohort of RA patients according to the ACR/87 classification criteria,\(^9\) all with ILD defined by a restrictive pattern in lung function tests (TLC < 80%, normal FEV1/FVC and FVC < 80%) and with evidence of ILD in high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) and a low diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO). Patients were evaluated and treated in the interstitial lung diseases unit at the Instituto Nacional de Enfermedades Respiratorias, a national referral center for respiratory diseases. Attending physicians (pulmonologists and rheumatologists) have experience in the medical assessment of ILD. At baseline patients have an evaluation of lung function including spirometry, DLCO, and HRCT. Then, at a minimum of 4 months of follow up, a second assessment of lung function was done.

A complete description of baseline HRCT findings was done. HRCT findings were classified according the ATS/ERS criteria. The HRCT reader was blinded to clinical data. A Kazerooni scale for fibrosis and inflammation was also calculated.\(^10\) Our reader has a high intraobserver concordance in the Kazerooni score, with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.90 (95% CI: 0.84–0.94). HRCT was performed with 1.0 or 1.5 mm thick axial section taken at 1 cm intervals throughout the entire thorax and were reconstructed using a high-spatial frequency algorithm. Between 20 and 25 CT scans images were acquired in each patient. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of our institute. As this is a retrospective study, no written informed consent from patients was taken.

Statistical analysis

Pulmonary function tests values were compared at a 6-month follow up with basal values with the Wilcoxon signed-rank test or the t-test for paired data. \(\alpha\) was set at 5%, all analyses are two sided.

Results

Forty patients with ILD related to RA were identified, 90% were female with a mean age of 58.5 ± 9.86 years. Respiratory symptoms to diagnosis had a median of 13 months. The majority of patients had a long duration of RA disease, with a median of articular symptoms of 64 months (IQR 12–150); only 40.6% of patients used disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) before the diagnosis of ILD related to RA. The rest of demographics and the clinical characteristics of the patients are presented in Table 1.

Medical treatment was decided by the attending physician. A myriad of treatments was used, nevertheless all patients received a high dose of prednisone (1 mg/kg/day) scheme for 6 weeks with a reduction scheme to end with a dose of 10 mg/day of prednisone at about the 6–8 months of follow up. DMARDs used are described in Table 1. Methotrexate was used in 18/40 (45%) patients and leflunomide or azathioprine or both were indicated in 22/40 (55%). At a minimum of 4 months of follow up (median of 10.5 months of follow up, IQR: 6–18 months of follow up) an improvement in basal FVC values was observed (median [IQR] 1.47 Lts. (0.99–1.91) vs 1.66 Lts. (1.37–2.1)), \(P < 0.004\) (Table 2). No differences were found between the DMARDs used. Patients had a median of 22.5 months of follow up after the basal evaluation. Four patients died, one of right heart failure, two of acute exacerbations and one died in an outpatient setting, possibly of respiratory failure. The median of survival

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n = 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>36 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year old, mean ± SD)</td>
<td>58.5 ± 9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of formal education (median, IQR)</td>
<td>3 (0–9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of respiratory symptoms at baseline in months (median, IQR)</td>
<td>14 (3–36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis symptoms at baseline in months (median, IQR)</td>
<td>64 (12–150)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous use of DMARDs</td>
<td>13/32 (40.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous use of methotrexate</td>
<td>11/32 (34.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous use of leflunomide</td>
<td>3/32 (9.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients treated with methotrexate in our institute</td>
<td>18/40 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate + leflunomide</td>
<td>3/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate + azathioprine</td>
<td>6/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients treated with azathioprine or leflunomide without methotrexate</td>
<td>22/40 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leflunomide</td>
<td>12/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td>10/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine + leflunomide</td>
<td>6/22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>First evaluation n = 40</th>
<th>Six months follow up n = 40</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FVC (%)</td>
<td>1.47 (0.99–1.91)</td>
<td>1.66 (1.37–2.1)</td>
<td>&lt;0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (l)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.42–0.77)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.56–0.91)</td>
<td>&lt;0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\dagger\) Median (IQR).
was 64 months. No differences were found between DMARDs and survival.

**High resolution CT results**

We had the initial HRCT of 33 patients, of these, 4 (12%) had a usual interstitial pneumonia (UIP) pattern, 12 (38%) had nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (NSIP) pattern and 17 (50%) had an indeterminate pattern with diffuse ground glass and reticulation images (Figs. 1 and 2). The median of the Kazerooni fibrosis scales was 0.47 and the median of the Kazerooni ground glass scale score was 2.33 (Table 3). We compared the response in the FVC at 6 months of follow up between patients with a value <0.47 of the fibrosis Kazerooni scale and those who had higher values. Those with lower Kazerooni scores (<0.47) were the ones who had a significant improvement in the FVC values (Table 4).

**Discussion**

The results in this study show that patients with RA and ILD may have an improvement in the FVC after a treatment with high doses of corticosteroids and DMARDs. This improvement is related to the fibrosis grade present at the beginning of the medical treatment, because patients with lower Kazerooni fibrosis scores were the ones who had a better improvement in the FVC values.

The general characteristics of the patients deserve some commentaries. First, although patients had a median duration of RA symptoms of 64 months, only 40% of the patients had a history of previous use of DMARDs. So, the majority of EPI-RA patients described in this cohort had not been treated according to current guidelines. Although male sex has been described as a risk factor

---

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HRCT pattern</th>
<th>Kazerooni score (median, IQR)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ground glass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UIP n=4</td>
<td>1.49 (0.99–1.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSIP n=12</td>
<td>2.49 (1.83–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory indeterminate n=17</td>
<td>2.5 (2–3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4
Comparison of FVC values at baseline and at a minimum of 6 months of follow up according to the median of the Kazerooni fibrosis score of the sample. Patients with less of 0.47 had a statistically significant improvement of the FVC basal values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Forced vital capacity (% of predicted)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At baseline</td>
<td>At a minimum of 6 months of follow up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazerooni fibrosis score &lt;0.47, n = 15</td>
<td>0.65 (0.42–0.80)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.71–0.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazerooni fibrosis score ≥0.47, n = 18</td>
<td>0.62 (0.42–0.74)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.51–0.87)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The comparison of the FVC (% of predicted) at a minimum of 6 months of follow up between patients with Kazerooni scale score values <0.47 vs >0.47 was of p<0.065.

for EPI-AR, in our cohort we only had 4 male patients. The mean age of our patients, is very similar to what has been described in other cohorts.

Nowadays, there is no consensus about the medical treatment in ILD related to RA. Although the prognosis in RA has improved in recent years and DMARDs, specially methotrexate has shown its efficacy and safety in the treatment of RA, the use of several DMARDs in the case of ILD related to RA is controversial. Some authors have suggested that methotrexate should not be used in a patient with ILD related to RA because of the risk of acute pneumonitis.

Nevertheless, some recent data questions that recommendation. Park et al. have shown that RA patients with a usual ILD pattern are a high risk group for acute exacerbations defined by the Akira criteria. In this report, RA patients with a usual ILD pattern had a higher incidence of acute exacerbations compared to idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis patients. More importantly, no RA patient in this report was treated with methotrexate or other DMARDs previously described as a possible cause of acute pneumonitis. Kim et al. have reported that methotrexate and anti TNF-α treatment are not associated with worse prognosis in a cohort of ILD related to RA patients. Analyses of large data sets have not shown any evidence of association between rheumatoid arthritis treatment and the risk of severe interstitial lung disease. In conclusion, the results of this study and that of previous studies, suggest that the recommendation of not giving methotrexate or other DMARDs to ILD related to RA patients is not accurate.

Another interesting finding in this study is the frequency of HRCT scans patterns. As Kim et al. reported, the most prevalent HRCT pattern was an indeterminate pattern with diffuse ground glass and reticulation in 50% of those patients with a baseline HRCT scan, followed by a NSIP in 38% and a usual pattern in 12% of the patients. The usual pattern is associated with a worse prognosis. We found no difference in survival according to the type of pattern, but our sample size and time of follow up do not allow having firm conclusions in this regard. Nevertheless, patients with less than 0.47 fibrosis Kazerooni score had a better improvement of the FVC basal values. The cut of value was selected arbitrarily because it was the median of the Kazerooni scale for fibrosis in our patients.

There are several limitations in the present study, first its retrospective nature and that the treatments that patients received were selected by the treating physicians. This fact does not allow having a valid comparison of different DMARs used in this cohort, and also, no conclusions about the long term prognosis should be made.

Another limitation is the short follow up of the patients, so we cannot estimate if the improvement in FVC is sustained in time. We believe that clinical trials must be done to answer which DMARD is the best option to treat ILD related to RA. These clinical trials ideally should compare the effect of traditional DMARDs and new biological therapeutic agents. Other important limitation is that no measurement of RA disease activity was taken.

In conclusion, patients with RA and ILD may have an improvement in the FVC after a treatment with high doses of corticosteroids and DMARDs. The improvement is seen in the patients with lower Kazerooni fibrosis scores.
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