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Introducción

Although many autoimmune diseases tend to coexist in patients, the association of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) is uncommon. Patients with SLE may be affected mainly by intestinal vasculitis and patients with IBD may have manifestations in common with SLE, such as oral ulcers, arthritis, etc. Furthermore, drugs used for IBD, such as sulfasalazine, can cause drug-induced lupus. We present the case of an association of both processes. In our case, the manifestations of SLE preceded IBD and there was no involvement of drugs in the manifestation of SLE.

Case Report

A 24-year-old woman with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) presented abdominal pain and diarrhea. No evidence for an SLE flare was obtained. Colonoscopy and microscopic biopsy examination revealed findings typical of Crohn's disease. Despite the rarity of the combination, patients with SLE showing gastrointestinal manifestations might merit evaluation for Crohn disease.

© 2011 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Lupus eritematoso sistémico y enfermedad de Crohn: un caso

La asociación del lupus eritematoso sistémico (LES) y la enfermedad inflamatoria intestinal es rara. Presentamos el caso de una mujer de 24 años con LES que comenzó con dolor abdominal y diarrea. No había datos de exacerbación de LES. Las pruebas complementarias mostraron hallazgos típicos de enfermedad de Crohn.
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(Hb: 9.9 g/dl, MCV: 68.3, MCH: 20), no other blood disorders were seen. Coagulation and hepatic and renal function tests were normal. Urinalysis showed no abnormalities. Stool culture and study of fecal parasites were negative. Serum levels of C3, C4, IgG, IgM were normal. She had positive ANA title 1/640 with a nucleolar pattern, but ENA, anti-DNA, and antiphospholipid antibodies were negative. The abdominal CT showed involvement of the segmental terminal ileum (diffuse thickening of the wall and slight decrease in size with finger-like edema) adjacent to which we found a collection 28 × 23 mm in size, probably related with an abscess. Colonoscopy of the cecum was performed, on the right colon, cecum, and ilio-cecal valve, showing several moderately deep ulcers with geographic edges about 1 cm in diameter, with normal mucosa between them. These findings were compatible with Crohn’s disease. The colon biopsy confirmed the endoscopic findings. Microscopic examination revealed fragments of large bowel mucosa with patchy involvement at the expense of small architectural distortion, mucin depletion, and a transmural inflammatory infiltrate consisting of lymphocytes, plasma cells, neutrophils, and eosinophils with occasional granulomas and a single crypt abscess. It was accompanied by areas of ulceration, granulation tissue, and fibrino-leukocyte material. Lymphoid accumulations were not seen (Fig. 1).

Once the diagnosis was made, treatment was started with prednisone (0.5 mg/kg) and azathioprine (2 mg/kg), having to suspend the latter a month later because of acute pancreatitis. She was subsequently treated with mesalazine (1000 mg/8h) with rapid improvement of symptoms. At present, after 6 months of treatment, the patient is symptom free.

Discussion

SLE can affect the entire gastrointestinal tract. However, the development of gastrointestinal complications in patients with SLE is not related to drugs and infections are rare. Although lupus enteritis (gastrointestinal vasculitis) may be difficult to distinguish, the onset of an inflammatory intestinal disease in our patient with diarrhea and abdominal pain was not accompanied by a relapse of SLE. In addition, the segmental involvement on the CT and endoscopy was suggestive of Crohn’s disease and the colon biopsy lacking evidence of vasculitis, as well as the above findings confirmed the result. In these cases the histological study is necessary to make the differential diagnosis between these two conditions. To establish the diagnosis of lupus enteritis requires evidence of deposits of immunoglobulins and complement in capillary walls and deposits in electron microscopy. As in other cases, we decided to treat the patient with azathioprine, which is useful in the manifestations of SLE and IBD both, but withdrew it because of toxic pancreatitis and opted for the administration of mesalazine, with good clinical response. Both SLE and IBD are chronic autoimmune diseases characterized by episodes of relapse and remission. The association is rare, the estimated prevalence of ulcerative colitis in patients with SLE is around 0.4% and Crohn’s disease is even less. In most cases, as in ours, the diagnosis of SLE occurs prior to IBD. The first disease is usually inactive at the time the second manifests. Patients with both processes tend to have less photosensitivity, serositis, and neurological disorders, and in general have a relatively favorable prognosis of both SLE and IBD. Thus, despite this uncommon association, it should be taken into account. If a patient is diagnosed with SLE and begins with gastrointestinal symptoms including abdominal pain and diarrhea, especially if it is not associated with clinical symptoms of recurrence of SLE, it is prudent to rule out IBD.
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