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Catastrophic health expenses and impoverishment of households of patients with rheumatoid arthritis
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A B S T R A C T

Background: The cost of certain diseases may lead to catastrophic expenses and impoverishment of households without full financial support by the state and other organizations.  
Objective: To determine the socioeconomic impact of the rheumatoid arthritis (RA) cost in the context of catastrophic expenses and impoverishment.  
Patients and methods: This is a cohort-nested cross-sectional multicenter study on the cost of RA in Mexican households with partial, full, or private health care coverage. Catastrophic expenses referred to health expenses totaling >30% of the total household income. Impoverishment defined those households that could not afford the Mexican basic food basket (BBF).  
Results: We included 262 patients with a mean monthly household income (US dollars) of $376 (0–18,890.63). In all, 50.8%, 35.5%, and 13.7% of the patients had partial, full, or private health care coverage, respectively. RA annual cost was $ 5534.8 per patient (65% direct cost, 35% indirect). RA cost caused catastrophic expenses in 46.9% of households, which in the logistic regression analysis were significantly associated with the type of health care coverage (OR 2.7, 95% CI 1.6–4.7) and disease duration (OR 1.024, 95% CI 1.002–1.046). Impoverishment occurred in 66.8% of households and was associated with catastrophic expenses (OR 3.6, 95% CI 1.04–14.1), high health assessment questionnaire scores (OR 4.84 95% CI 1.01–23.3), and low socioeconomic level (OR 4.66, 95% CI 1.37–15.87).  
Conclusion: The cost of RA in Mexican households, particularly those lacking full health coverage leads to catastrophic expenses and impoverishment. These findings could be the same in countries with fragmented health care systems.

© 2012 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Gastos catastróficos en salud y el empobrecimiento de los hogares de los pacientes con artritis reumatoide

R E S U M E N

Antecedentes: El costo de ciertas enfermedades puede dar lugar a gastos catastróficos y el empobrecimiento de las familias sin apoyo financiero por los organismos del Estado y otros.
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Introducción

La artritis reumatoide (AR) es una enfermedad autoinmune crónica que afecta a 0,5–1,0% de la población en algunos países desarrollados y 1,6% en la población mexicana.2,3 Cortesía y continuación de AR incluye enfermedad crónica, disfunción del aparato locomotor,3 comorbilidad significativa y reducción de la expectativa de vida.4 El coste de AR en algunos países europeos ha sido estimado en €45,3 billones y en los Estados Unidos de América (USA) en €41,6 billones.5 Interesadamente, el coste promedio por caso de enfermedad es importante6–16 y es mucho más alto en países con bajo nivel de producto doméstico (GDP).17 No obstante, hay una falta de información sobre el costo de AR en México, especialmente en el sistema de salud y en el sistema de salud de la población en general.

En México, el estado cubre alrededor de 47% de la población con salud y alrededor de 49% con servicios de salud. Los servicios de salud son proporcionados por instituciones de salud con derecho a la cobertura de la población, como en el caso de los hospitales y centros de salud. Sin embargo, se ha encontrado que la cobertura de servicios de salud es insuficiente para cubrir las necesidades de la población.18–21

OPEs se han utilizado para estimar el costo de AR en México. La cobertura de OPEs en México es significativa para cubrir los costos de la enfermedad.22–29 Sin embargo, el estudio de estos costos es limitado en México y en el mundo en general.30,31 Es importante destacar que la cobertura de OPEs es insuficiente para cubrir los costos de la enfermedad.30,31

Objetivo: Determinar el impacto socioeconómico de la artritis reumatoide (AR) sobre costos en el contexto de los gastos catastróficos y el empobrecimiento.

Métodos: Se trató de una cohorte unidimensional en un estudio transversal y multicéntrico sobre el costo de la AR en los hogares mexicanos con cobertura parcial, completa o privado de salud. Los gastos catastróficos se definieron como aquellos que ocupaban > 30% del ingreso total del hogar. El empobrecimiento se definió como hogares que no podían pagar la canasta básica de alimentos de México (CBA).

Resultados: Se incluyeron 262 pacientes con un ingreso familiar promedio mensual (dólares americanos) de $376 (0–18,890.63). En total, el 50,8% de los pacientes tenían cobertura médica parcial, completa o privado, respectivamente. El costo anual de la AR fue de $5,534.8 por paciente (65% los costos directos, el 35% indirecto). La AR generó gastos catastróficos en el 46,9% de los hogares, que en el análisis de regresión logística se asociaron significativamente con la edad de la predicción de la enfermedad (OR 2,7, IC 95%: 1,57–4,31) y la duración de la enfermedad (OR 1,024, IC del 95%: 1,002–1,046). El empobrecimiento se produjo en el 66,8% de los hogares y se asoció con gastos catastróficos (OR 3,5, IC 95%: 1,92–4,5), los altos puntajes del cuestionario de Evaluación de Salud (OR 4,84 IC 95%: 1,01 a 23,1) y el nivel socioeconómico bajo (OR 4,66, IC 95%: 1,37–15,87).

Conclusión: El costo de la AR en los hogares mexicanos, en particular los que no tienen cobertura de salud completa, lleva a los gastos catastróficos y el empobrecimiento. Estos hallazgos podrían ser los mismos en los países con sistemas de salud fragmentados.

© 2012 Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.
Around one-half of the Mexican population (55 million inhabitants in 2004) is covered by this system.

The public health care system, which depends on the Ministry of Health, provides partial health care coverage to the population that is not covered by institutions of social security. It is a decentralized system financed and administered by the federation and governments of the states. Despite the fact that people have to pay for this type of care, costs are significantly lower than those of private health care services. Unfortunately, medications, paid sick days, disability, retirement plans, or copayments are not included among the benefits of such health system.

The private health care system, a non-regulated sector in which users must pay directly for the services provided or less frequently through private insurance companies—usually very costly. Only 3% of this system includes collective plans, for which funds come from the employees having the possibility of deducting the cost of premium price as a taxable income.\textsuperscript{18,22}

**Assessment of patients**

Demographic variables included age, sex, education level, and marital condition. Patient’s work status included the following categories: unemployed, self-employed, and formally employed, disabled, retired, student, and housewife. We determined the total household income, housing characteristics, and whether the patient and its family owned, hired, or temporarily inhabited the property where they lived. We investigated the characteristics of medical care, including private insurance coverage, network support, and medical and non-medical direct costs in the last six months.\textsuperscript{25} Clinical variables included disease duration (interval between onset of symptoms and RA diagnosis), tender and swollen joint counts, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and the disease activity score-28 (DAS-28).\textsuperscript{26} We also evaluated patient’s well-being status, functioning, and health related quality of life with the Mexican validated versions of the Health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index (HAQ-DI)\textsuperscript{32} and the short-form (SF-36) questionnaire.\textsuperscript{28} Finally, we also assessed coping\textsuperscript{25} and comorbidity.

OPEs were calculated through a micro-costing analysis of health care related patient’s expenses. Cost components of healthcare and related resources, production losses, and intangible burdens were identified. Thus, we estimated the direct medical costs (physician consultations, hospitalization, medication, alternative therapies as well as laboratory and auxiliary tests), the direct non-medical costs (transportation and meals related to medical care), and the indirect costs (work disability, home care, loss of income at home) as consequence of RA and its comorbidities within the last six months. The patient, economically supporting relatives, health care institutions, and drug stores (pharmacies) provided the information for this analysis. Indirect costs were always obtained from the patient and his relatives. With information provided by patients and households having partial health care coverage or private medical care, and pharmacies as well as data from health institutions taking care of patients with full health care coverage, we calculated RA direct costs. Because we did not measure production losses as consequence of housework (unpaid productive work), we inferred housewives earned one minimum wage. Costs were converted from Mexican pesos into US Dollars for December 2005 and expressed throughout the paper as that (1 US Dollar = 10.64 Mexican pesos; non-adjusted for purchasing power parities).\textsuperscript{30}

Catastrophic expenses referred to health expenses totaling >30% of the family income and their calculation was made according to Torres and Knaul.\textsuperscript{31} Thresholds at 40%, 50%, and 60% were calculated as well. The poverty line was established according to the Basic Food Basket (BFB) threshold in México\textsuperscript{32–33} and the Organ for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) definition.\textsuperscript{22} The BFB definition of impoverishment was the household inability to cover the BFB average cost (approximately $536.2).\textsuperscript{32,33} The OECD definition of poor people referred to household income 60% below the national average (approximately $139.8).\textsuperscript{22} In addition, we calculated the household socio-economic status (SES) by using the following variables: head’s of the family education level, dwelling characteristics, home overcrowding, and water sewer services.\textsuperscript{34} SES data were presented as a global score ranging from 0 to 12 where low scores corresponded to low SES.

**Statistical analysis**

Socio-demographic, economic, and patient’s clinical characteristics in each type of health care system were compared by means of descriptive statistics. Differences across the three different types of health care systems were tested with one and two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables and chi-square or Kruskal–Wallis tests for ordinal, nominal, or categorical variables. We performed univariate and multivariate analyses and checked out the collinearity of independent variables. In the linear regression analysis, catastrophic expenses, total OPEs, and poverty level were considered dependent variables whereas the demographic, clinical, and socioeconomic features were regarded as independent. We also built some logistic regression models in which the presence of catastrophic expenses was regarded as the dependent variable and socio-demographic, clinical, and economic features were considered independent.

**Results**

The study included 262 patients (89.3% females) with a mean (standard deviation) age of 42.7 (13.6) years and median (range) disease duration of 17 (3–72) months (Table 1). The median household income was $376 (0–18,890.63) per month; 74.9% of the people earned ≤$244.0. Twenty-seven (10.3%) patients were off-medications, 170 (64.9%) were on non-steroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), 71 (27.1%) on glucocorticoids, 65 (24.8%) on DMARDs monotherapy, 170 (64.9%) on DMARDs combinations, and only 17 (6.5%) on biologic therapy. Remarkably, 145 (55.3%) of the patients did not take all medications prescribed by their physicians because they could not afford their cost (85%) or because they faced institutional shortage (15%).

In all, 50.8% of the patients had partial and 35.5% full health care coverage whereas 13.7% relied on private medical care (Table 1). There was a trend of less severe and less active disease in the former group and the contrary on the latter, but regarding educational and economic variables the group of patients with partial health care coverage was certainly below the two other groups.

**Cost analysis**

The median annual direct and indirect estimated costs for RA were $1514.9 (range 11.3–58,826.7) and $315.9 (range 0–31,594), respectively (Fig. 1). Indirect costs corresponded mostly to job loss or to third-party help. Direct medical and non-medical costs differed across the health care systems (Table 2). The monthly median drug cost in social security institutions (full coverage system) was $28.2 (range 0.43–3719.9). The median OPEs for medications corresponded to $76.23 (range 0.47–1466.8). The median total institutional drug prescription (institutional and full coverage) cost per month was $55.1 (range 0.3–719.9). The mean total annual estimated cost per RA patient was $5534.8. Of these, 65% corresponded to direct and 35% to indirect costs (Fig. 1).
Table 1
Demographic, economic, and clinical characteristics of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (n = 262) according to the different types of health care coverage. a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Partial coverage (n = 133)</th>
<th>Full coverage (n = 93)</th>
<th>Private coverage (n = 36)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Females, n (%)</td>
<td>123 (92.5)</td>
<td>84 (90.3)</td>
<td>27 (75)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>42.9 (12.6)</td>
<td>45.2 (14)</td>
<td>46.14 (17)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, years</td>
<td>22.23 (17)</td>
<td>23.19 (18)</td>
<td>19.69 (15)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAS28</td>
<td>3.1 (1.8)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.8)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.9)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAQ-DI</td>
<td>0.95 (0.79)</td>
<td>1.04 (0.88)</td>
<td>1.30 (0.89)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF36 physical component score</td>
<td>48.8 (22)</td>
<td>49.7 (23)</td>
<td>42.8 (24)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF36 mental component score</td>
<td>52.7 (20)</td>
<td>58.5 (20)</td>
<td>55.5 (20)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On DMARDs, n (%)</td>
<td>120 (90.2)</td>
<td>79 (64.9)</td>
<td>32 (88.9)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On glucocorticoids n (%)</td>
<td>39 (29.3)</td>
<td>20 (15.1)</td>
<td>12 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level, years</td>
<td>8.2 (3.7)</td>
<td>9.4 (3.7)</td>
<td>11.5 (4.2)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household socio-economic status</td>
<td>7.79 (2.36)</td>
<td>8.97 (1.99)</td>
<td>9.83 (1.81)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paid job, n (%)</td>
<td>45 (34)</td>
<td>40 (32)</td>
<td>17 (47)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sick leave, last year, n (%)</td>
<td>22 (17)</td>
<td>30 (22)</td>
<td>17 (47)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pay for all medications, n (%)</td>
<td>55 (41)</td>
<td>39 (25)</td>
<td>19 (53)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expenses in complementary therapies a</td>
<td>49.39 (187)</td>
<td>94.21 (281)</td>
<td>239 (697)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private medical insurance coverage, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (0.9)</td>
<td>4 (4.3)</td>
<td>14 (38.9)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DAS28: disease activity score; HAQ-DI: health Assessment Questionnaire-Disability Index; DMARDs: disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs.

* Mean (standard deviation) unless stated otherwise.
*a Two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA).

US dollars.

Effect of OPEs on household income and socio-economic situation

The median household income was $376 (0–18,890.63) per month (Table 2) with an annual estimation of $4513 (0–226,688). In 123 (46.9%) patients, OPEs represented more than 30% of the household income. Thus, 64.7% with partial and 21.5% of patients with full health care coverage as well as 47.2% in the private health care system had catastrophic expenses as consequence of RA. According to SES, 65% of households with catastrophic expenses were classified as extremely impoverished. Regardless of cut-off points, the proportion of households with catastrophic expenses and impoverishment was much higher in households with partial coverage than in those with full health care coverage (see Table 2). According to BFB definition of impoverishment, 175 (66.8%) of the households, particularly those with partial coverage were impoverished. For the OECD, 11.8% of the households would be considered poor (Table 2).

Association of OPEs and catastrophic expenses with demographic and clinical variables

The variables that predicted catastrophic expenses in the logistic regression analysis were partial health care coverage (OR 2.7, 95%CI 1.6–4.7; P = 0.000) and long disease duration (OR 1.024, 95%CI 1.002–1.046; P = 0.031). Increased number of family members (>4) (OR 0.37, 95%CI 0.17–0.81; P = 0.012) and household income (> $400) (OR 0.29, 95%CI 0.11–0.80; P = 0.016) protected against catastrophic expenses. Interestingly, there were no variables significantly associated with impoverishment in the logistic regression models that considered BFB. In contrast, catastrophic expenses (OR 3.6, 95%CI 1.04–14.1; P = 0.043); high HAQ score (OR 4.84, 95%CI 1.01–23.33; P = 0.049) and low SES (OR 4.66, 95%CI 1.37–15.85; P = 0.014) predicted impoverishment as defined by the OECD in our population.

Table 2
Cost analysis, out-of-pocket-expenses, catastrophic expenses, and impoverishment across health care systems in household with rheumatoid arthritis. a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Partial health care</th>
<th>Full health care</th>
<th>Private health care</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>All patients (n = 262)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household income per month, mean (SD)</td>
<td>316 (240)</td>
<td>648 (451)</td>
<td>1,848 (3367)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>644.4 (1453)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual direct medical cost</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>2428 (3559)</td>
<td>3421 (7838)</td>
<td>6224 (9376)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>1433 (0–19,244)</td>
<td>537 (0–58,795)</td>
<td>2559 (0–51,027)</td>
<td>1283 (0–58,800)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual direct non-medical cost</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>316 (1073)</td>
<td>363 (759)</td>
<td>210 (304)</td>
<td>0.719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>45 (0–9239)</td>
<td>56 (0–4604)</td>
<td>102 (5.6–1410)</td>
<td>96 (0–9239)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual indirect medical cost</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>348 (1216)</td>
<td>1649 (3447)</td>
<td>3809 (7066)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>0 (0–9000)</td>
<td>0 (0–21,000)</td>
<td>0 (0–30,000)</td>
<td>315.9 (0–31,594)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual total</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>2775 (3801)</td>
<td>3787 (8041)</td>
<td>6521 (10,047)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range)</td>
<td>1756 (12–19,254)</td>
<td>744 (11–58,827)</td>
<td>2516 (32–51,873)</td>
<td>1515 (11–58,827)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPEs per month, mean (SD)</td>
<td>231.2 (317)</td>
<td>315.6 (670)</td>
<td>543 (837)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>299.9 (551)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catastrophic expenses, n (%)</td>
<td>86 (64.7)</td>
<td>20 (21.5)</td>
<td>17 (47.2)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>123 (46.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impoverished households</td>
<td>BFB, n (%)</td>
<td>118 (88.7)</td>
<td>44 (47.3)</td>
<td>13 (36.1)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD, n (%)</td>
<td>25 (18.8)</td>
<td>3 (3.2)</td>
<td>3 (8.3)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>31 (11.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: standard deviation; OPEs: out-of-pocket-expenses; BFB: basic food basket; OECD: Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

a US dollars.
have been the subject of numerous studies.\textsuperscript{4,23} We previously reported that OPEs represented 15% of household income and 26.1% ($610 \pm 302.2$ per year) of the total cost of RA.\textsuperscript{21} Mean OPEs per year as consequence of RA in the USA was $1798 \pm 3314$.\textsuperscript{21} While 43.6% of USA patients had problems in paying their medical bills, this obligation represented a serious problem for 9.0% of the population with RA. According to the Health and Human Services, 51.3% of such population falls below the poverty line.\textsuperscript{23} In our study, 88.7% of households with partial health coverage fall below the impoverishment level. Despite gross economical differences, the cost of RA in México and USA leads to high OPEs and impoverishment of the population that lacks full medical care coverage or insurance. In other countries, particularly those in the European Community, the cost of the disease is mostly covered by the state. In such circumstance, there is no way that any household would have catastrophic expenses as consequence of any disease.

The annual total cost per patient with RA in this study (including direct and indirect costs) was $553,348. Medications represented 29% of the total cost, which is in the 8–26% range reported in other studies.\textsuperscript{35–40} Remarkably, unemployment was high among RA patients (61.5%), as well as among the members of their family in the age years of economic potential (44.4%). Unemployment rate in our country is approximately 4%, but despite the National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI) officially states that 95.97% of the economically active population has a paid job, 66% of the jobs are informal and therefore do not generate social benefits. Around 60% of the population earns between 1 and 3 minimum wages and 64% have no access to health services.

Based on the prevalence of RA in our country (0.7–2.8% out of 107,029,000 inhabitants),\textsuperscript{2} the estimated annual cost of the disease would be in the range of $44,099 million to $176,397.6 million. Considering that in 2005, health care expenses represented 6.5% ($615,869.2 million) of GDP, the annual cost of RA in México would represent 7.2–28.6% of the total health care expenses per year. Despite the fact that the Mexican government has allocated some financial resources for a group of nine diseases causing leading to catastrophic expenses,\textsuperscript{41} none of the rheumatic diseases including RA are considered in such group.

The limitations of the study include some selection bias to more severe cases, which may be expected among patients attending specialized departments. However, most patients had less than five years of symptoms and severity might be mostly resulted from active disease and not from severe structural changes at the time of the study. In addition, we included patients attending private practice, in which disease severity may be lower. Interestingly, the proportion of patients included in the study corresponded very well to the proportion of individuals in each segment of the health care system in the country, which suggest representativeness of our sample. There was a general under-representation of biologic agents in our sample: only 2% of all patients were on biologic therapy. The use of biologic agents has grown year per year, but access to this type of therapy is mostly limited to that segment of the population with complete full coverage or private medical insurance and not by those with partial medical coverage or no coverage at all. While those with full medical coverage do not incur in any cost to get them, those in private practice and those with private insurance usually have catastrophic expenses.

In conclusion, we have shown that the cost of RA has an important socioeconomic impact in Mexican households as seen through the magnitude of catastrophic expenses and impoverishment resulting from OPEs. The households lacking full medical coverage were those particularly affected by the cost of the disease. In this sense, it is expected that the impact of RA could be approximately the same in countries with fragmented health care systems. After all, an important segment of the population and specifically of patients with RA will not be able to comply with
long-term therapeutic indications and indeed access to new costly medications.
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