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A B S T R A C T

Introduction: The biologics used in the management of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) in recent years have comprehensively permitted to understand their security, as shown in registries such as BIOBADASER. The present manuscript represents an observational cohort study to describe the safety perifusional profile of those intravenous treatments.

Objectives: To confirm the safety profile of biological therapies in routine clinical practice, after the administration of intravenous drugs and 24 h post-administration.

Material and methods: We evaluated a cross-sectional cohort of 114 patients with RA (according to the American College of Rheumatology ACR criteria), attending within one month in 2009 the nursing clinics of day care hospital of 12 Catalanian hospitals. All patients were treated with intravenous biological agents. We recorded the age, sex, current and previous drug treatments. We also collected data about previous vaccination and premedication received and any adverse event occurring at the time of drug administration or within 24 h. If an adverse event occurred, it was categorized by MedDRA V1.0 International Dictionary, and categorized in terms of intensity (mild, moderate, severe), relationship to drug administration according to Karch and Lasagna algorithm (unrelated, unlikely, possible, probable, definite) and the further measures taken.

Results: 111 patients met the inclusion criteria, with a mean age of 56.06 years (SD: 12.12), 90 of them women (81.1%) and mean time since diagnosis of the disease of 11.97 years (SD: 7.95); 24 patients (21.6%) had a history of allergy. 12 adverse events were observed in 7 patients, 9 of which at the time of administration and 3 in 24 h after. There were no serious adverse events and only one of the adverse events (AEs) was rated as moderate (urticaria). The remaining AEs were mild.

© 2012 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Resumen

Perfiles de seguridad de las terapias biológicas intravenosas en una cohorte de pacientes con artritis reumatoide. Monitorización clínica por enfermería (estudio Sebiol)

R E S U M E N

Introducción: El uso de biológicos ha permitido conocer de manera exhaustiva su seguridad gracias a registros como BIOBADASER. El presente trabajo permite, con un estudio observacional...
de cohortes, describir el perfil de seguridad perinfusional de dichos tratamientos por vía intravenosa.

**Objetivos:** Conocer el perfil de seguridad en la práctica clínica, tras la administración de biológicos por vía intravenosa y durante las 24 h posteriores.

**Material y métodos:** Cohorte transversal de 114 pacientes con AR tratados con agentes biológicos (criterios ACR) durante un mes de 2009 por enfermería de hospital de día de 12 centros hospitalarios catalanes. Se analizaron la edad, el sexo, los tratamientos actuales y previos, los datos de vacunación previa y la premedicación. Se registra también cualquier acontecimiento adverso (AA) durante la administración o en las 24 h posteriores. Se clasificó según el diccionario internacional MedDRAv 1.0 y se describieron la intensidad (levé, moderada, severa), la relación con la administración del fármaco según el algoritmo de Karch y Lasagna (no relacionada, imposible, posible, probable, definitiva) y las medidas emprendidas.

El análisis estadístico se realizó mediante SPSS 18.0.

**Resultados:** Ciento once con criterios de inclusión (edad media ± desviación estándar 56.06 ± 12.12 años), 90 mujeres (81.1%) y evolución de 11.97 ± 7.95 años; 24 pacientes (21,6%) con antecedentes de alergia. Se observaron 12 AA en 7 pacientes, 9 de ellos durante la administración y 3 en las 24 h posteriores. No hubo ningún acontecimiento adverso grave y uno de los AA se calificó de intensidad moderada (urticaria). El resto de los AA fueron de intensidad leve.

© 2012 Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

---

**Introduction**

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory joint disease that causes progressive destruction and swelling, pain, morning stiffness and loss of functional capacity, mainly affecting the hands and feet. The prevalence in our country is 0.5%, representing about 200,000 adults affected over 20 years. The loss of function of the joints decreases the ability to perform activities of daily living, causes problems in the workplace and limits considerably the quality of life related to health. RA-related mortality is higher than the general population and a decrease in life expectancy between 5 and 10 years is seen. The costs of the disease are a huge consumer of health and social resources, estimated annually at 1.12 million euros for these 200,000 patients.

The current goal of RA treatment is to induce remission or, failing that, to achieve a low disease inflammatory activity. Early and active treatment in the initial stages of the disease is essential for good long term prognosis. Among existing treatments, biological therapies have represented a breakthrough in the treatment of RA.

The role of nursing in the rheumatology day hospital is managing and controlling biological therapy, early detection of side effects, monitoring and reporting patient comorbidity or warning signs to watch in relation to treatment and instruction for patient self-administration of subcutaneous biologics. The biologic drugs most commonly administered intravenously in the day hospital are infliximab (Remicade®), rituximab (Mabthera®), abatacept (Orencia®) and tocilizumab (RoActemra®).

This study evaluates the safety profile of biologic therapies in routine clinical practice conditions, after administration of intravenous drugs and for 24 h after their administration, and to describe the role of nursing in the whole disease and treatment process.

**Materials and Methods**

Of the 114 patients enrolled, we analyzed a cross-sectional cohort of 111 patients, with a mean age±SD of 56.06±12.1 years, 90 (81.1%) women, 21 (18.9%) men, and a time since onset of the disease of 11.97±7.95 years. One hundred and eleven patients fulfilled the criteria for RA according to American College of Rheumatology (ACR), and were evaluated during the period between November 15 and December 15, 2009 in day hospitals and nursing visits at 12 hospitals in Catalonia. All patients gave written informed consent to participate in the study. Demographic variables were recorded in a notebook: age and sex of the patients, the current and previous drug treatments and vaccination data as well as prior premedication (Tables 1 and 2). We noted any adverse events (AEs) that occurred at the time of drug administration or after 24 h. The AEs recorded were classified according to the International MedDRAv1.0 Dictionary, describing the degree of intensity (mild, moderate, severe) and were assessed regarding drug administration by the Karch and Lasagna algorithm (unrelated, unlikely, possible, likely, definite) and the action taken. The procedures performed before, during and after drug administration by nurses were recorded to monitor the process. They made an assessment and hemodynamic monitoring, punctured a peripheral vein, which after extraction of a control blood sample, was used for intravenous treatment with a continuous infusion pump according to protocol. Drug administration was conducted by infusion pump intravenously in 88 patients
(80%–0%) and without a pump in 22 (20%) patients, with a mean of 18.3 infusions and a median of 9.5.

**Results**

During the administration and after 24 h, in the 111 patients who met the inclusion criteria, we found 24 patients (21.6%) who had a history of allergy. There were a total of 12 AEs in 7 patients, 9 of which occurred at the time of administration and 3 in the subsequent 24 h (Table 3). There were no severe AEs and only one moderate AE (urticaria). The remaining AEs were mild. The reaction characteristics during and after infusion, and drugs involved are presented in Tables 4–7.

**Discussion**

Intravenous biological therapies infliximab, rituximab, abatacept and tocilizumab are effective in the treatment of RA and other chronic inflammatory diseases that present with outbreaks. Infliximab, an anti-TNF-α, is administered through intravenous administration at a frequency of every 8 weeks, and is today the most widely used and the most common in the day hospital. Rituximab is a chimeric monoclonal antibody that acts on B lymphocytes, its administration is carried out in 2 cycles per year, approximately every 6 months, and its handling protocolized at the day hospital, and its administration requires premedication. Less frequently, abatacept, which is a protein obtained by cell culture that blocks T cell costimulation, is also administered intravenously monthly. Among the complications that occur more often in this type of therapy, most are related to administration. These reactions can be classified into acute or delayed, present at the time of administration or within 24 h (acute) or those occurring from 24 h to 14 days after infusion (late).

In this study we focused on the acute AE, occurring during drug administration or within 24 h, in RA patients in clinical practice conditions. AEs observed were acute pruritus, edema, urticaria, hypotension, bradycardia, tachycardia, headache, fever or anaphylactic reactions, among others.

The BIOBADASER registry (Adverse Event Registry for Biologic Therapies), created in 2001 to determine the safety of biological therapies in rheumatic diseases, provides safety data and relevant AE retention rates. Comparatively, a total of 12 AEs were observed in 7 patients, included in the 111 patients, 9 of which occurred at the time of administration and 3 in after 24 h. These data correspond to 10.8% of patients with AE. 8.1% for administration and 2.7% in the next 24 h. BIOBADASER has reported a total of 761 reactions associated with treatment, taking into account that, in November 2009, 5493 patients had been recorded, corresponding to a rate of 13.8% of patients with treatment-associated AE. If we compare these results with those in BIOBADASER, it appears

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Prior and Current Treatments for RA.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Prior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients analyzed</td>
<td>111 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>95 (85.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold salts</td>
<td>38 (34.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphasalazine</td>
<td>13 (11.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimalarials</td>
<td>23 (20.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methotrexate</td>
<td>98 (88.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leflunomide</td>
<td>39 (35.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclosporine</td>
<td>15 (13.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azathioprine</td>
<td>11 (9.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclophosphamide</td>
<td>6 (5.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anakirina</td>
<td>5 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td>27 (24.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>9 (8.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>5 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>9 (8.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Adverse Events During Administration and 24 h After Administration: Relation to Drug and Measures Taken.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Drug</strong></td>
<td><strong>Patients</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td>73 (65.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>23 (20.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>15 (13.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The management of adverse events (AE) was done during clinical and hemodynamic patient monitoring, until resolution of the acute episode. No treatment for severe AE was employed.

1 All presented at least facial erythema.
2 At least one case probably related with drug administration (treatment temporarily interrupted) and another definitely related (dose adjustment).
3 Definite relationship (treatment temporarily interrupted).
4 Possible relationship (no action taken).
5 Probable relationship (no action taken).
6 Definite relationship (no action taken).
that although the present sample is smaller, the percentage of AE is no different from BIOBADASER. Keep in mind that the percentage of BIOBADASER also includes those AEs observed in subcutaneously administered drugs, while in a study conducted by the BIOBADASER group in 2008, it was shown that the frequency of adverse reactions was greatest with infliximab than with other anti-TNF-α by subcutaneous administration. Similarly, in studies with abatacept and rituximab, the treatment-related AE range from 10% to 15% of patients.

Regarding the frequency of drug-related AEs administered in our sample, we observed that it was higher for infliximab with respect to the other treatments, explained by the size of the sample receiving this treatment (73% of patients) compared to 20, 7% receiving abatacept and 13.5% receiving rituximab. Two patients had AEs during rituximab administration and none of those treated with abatacept had AE. None of the registered AE was severe: only one was rated as moderate intensity (urticaria), and the rest, mild. In most of the published articles, the percentage of severe AE is also low, but intravenously administered drug reactions are, in some cases, the cause of discontinuation of treatment, although in some cases they may be controlled with medication to reduce sensitization or decrease the rate of infusion.

In our cases, we established one definite relationship of AE with medication, but in 2 cases we established a probable relationship and in the remaining case, the established relationship was possible. Three of the cases were resolved with temporary treatment interruption, in one case the dose was adjusted and the remaining 3 did not require any action. Regarding the appearance of AE during treatment delivery or within the following 24 h, we note that in 71.5% of patients the reaction occurred during treatment and in 28.5% it occurred in the following 24 h.

This paper aims to highlight key data in the management with biological therapies. First, it should be noted that the type of treatment-related reactions observed in intravenous administration drugs is similar to that described above in different studies using biological treatments. Second, we have not observed any serious AE, although it is important to be alert to the possible occurrence of these AEs during and 24 h after infusion, which may be a cause for discontinuation. It also emphasizes the high prevalence of corticosteroid treatment of RA patients, something not always recorded, and the low rate of influenza and pneumococcal vaccination, despite existing recommendations. Finally, it should be noted that monitoring should be done not only during infusion administration, but nursing plays a role in the subsequent control in the 24 h after administration.
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