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ABSTRACT

Rhupus is an infrequent disease in which an overlap between lupus eritematosus and rheumatoid arthritis exists. Joint manifestations are prominent and treatment with nonbiological DMARDs is not always satisfactory, so immunosuppressors and biological agents have been tried.

A prospective, open clinical study was done to evaluate efficacy and tolerability of rituximab in patients with rhupus. The main objective was a change in DAS28 at 6 months and secondary objectives were a change in MEX-SLEDAI at 6 months, change in DAS28 and MEX-SLEDAI during follow up, steroid requirements and detection of adverse events.

We included 9 women with a mean age of 43 years and disease duration of 10 years. A significant reduction in DAS28 was observed (from 5.73 at baseline to 3.02 at 6 months, \(P < .001\)). Improvement in DAS28 was maintained during follow up. At 6 months, 3 patients were in remission and 3 had low disease activity. MEX-SLEDAI diminished from 5 points at baseline to 1.22 at 6 months (\(P < .001\)). There was a negative correlation between clinical improvement and anti-CCP levels (\(r = -0.794, P = .011\)). Mean prednisone dose was reduced from 11.66 mg/day at baseline to 0.55 and 1.11 mg/day at 12 and 24 months.

Treatment was well tolerated.

In this study rituximab was effective not only for joint affection but also for other manifestations of the disease. We consider that this biological agent can be a good therapeutic option for patients with rhupus.
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Eficacia y tolerabilidad de rituximab en el tratamiento de pacientes con rhupus

RESUMEN

Rhupus es una entidad poco común en la que se superponen datos de lupus eritematoso generalizado y artritis reumatoide, predominando con frecuencia las manifestaciones articulares. En muchos casos el tratamiento con fármacos modificadores de la enfermedad no biológicos es insatisfactorio, por lo que se ha intentado el uso de inmunosupresores y fármacos biológicos.

Se realizó un estudio prospectivo y abierto para evaluar la eficacia y la tolerabilidad de rituximab en pacientes con rhupus. El objetivo principal fue el cambio en el DAS28 a los 6 meses; fueron objetivos secundarios el cambio en MEX-SLEDAI a los 6 meses, el cambio en DAS28 y MEX-SLEDAI durante el seguimiento, el requerimiento de esteroides y el registro de eventos adversos.

Se incluyó a 9 pacientes, todas mujeres, con edad promedio de 43 años y tiempo de evolución de 10 años. Se observó un descenso en la puntuación basal de DAS28 de 5.73 a 3.02 a los 6 meses (\(p < 0.001\)). La mejora en el DAS28 se mantuvo durante el periodo de seguimiento. A los 6 meses, 3 pacientes presentaban remisión por DAS28 y 3 actividad baja. La calificación de MEX-SLEDAI disminuyó de 5 puntos a nivel basal a 1.22 a los 6 meses (\(p < 0.001\)) y mantuvo esta mejora. Se observó una correlación negativa entre la mejora clínica y los niveles de anti-CCP (\(r = -0.794, p = 0.011\)). La dosis de prednisona disminuyó de 11.66 mg/día basal a 0.55 y 1.11 mg/día a los 12 y 24 meses, respectivamente. En general, el tratamiento con rituximab fue bien tolerado durante el estudio.

En los pacientes de nuestro estudio, el tratamiento con rituximab mostró ser eficaz tanto en las manifestaciones articulares, con reducción significativa del DAS28, como en otras manifestaciones de lupus,
**Introduction**

Rhupus is defined as the superposition of lupus erythematosus (SLE) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA). It is a rare entity, with fewer than 150 cases reported in the literature. An epidemiological study found that the prevalence is about 0.09%. Although some authors argue that rhupus represents a subset of SLE with predominant joint manifestations and characteristics, clinical and serological evidence support that this is a definite overlap syndrome.

In most rhupus cases described, the clinical picture starts with a symmetrical and erosive polyarthritis with positive rheumatoid factor and/or citrulline antipeptide antibodies (anti-CCP) and can be classified as RA. It also features other clinical manifestations of SLE and its specific antibodies (anti-dsDNA and/or anti-Sm).

In addition to joint disease, the most common manifestations of lupus are mucocutaneous involvement, hematological abnormalities and serositis; renal involvement or central nervous system is uncommon. Arthropathy is usually the predominant manifestation in these patients, with clinical inflammation, deformities and erosions characterized in RA and even rheumatoid nodules.

In many of the described cases there was no good response to disease-modifying nonbiological drugs (DMARDs) and treatment has been attempted with immunosuppressants (azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil) and even with biologics (abatacept).

Despite the undoubted efficacy of anti-TNF drugs in RA patients, previous experience in SLE has shown little efficacy and in some cases even a worsening of symptoms, making them of little use for their use in patients with rhupus.

Rituximab is a monoclonal antibody directed against the CD20 molecule on B lymphocytes which has been extensively demonstrated to be effective in the treatment of RA. In addition, although recent reports of controlled trials have not yielded the expected results, there are many reports in the literature of successful treatment with rituximab in patients with SLE and different types of manifestations, mainly hematological, renal and even central nervous system. There are, at this time, no specific reports on the response in joint manifestations, although surely many of these patients presented improvement.

The objective of this study was to investigate the efficacy and tolerability of rituximab in a group of patients with rhupus.

**Treatment**

Patients received rituximab 1 g by intravenous infusion for 4 h, after premedication with hydroxyzine, paracetamol and dexamethasone 8 mg intravenously on days 1 and 15 of the study, with subsequent cycles every 9–12 months, depending on the clinical activity evaluated by DAS28.

Nonbiological DMARDs (methotrexate, leflunomide, azulfidine) and immunosuppressants (azathioprine, mycophenolate mofetil, cyclophosphamide) were suspended one month before the start of the study. Concurrent use of low doses of steroids (maximum 15 mg of prednisone/day or its equivalent) and hydroxychloroquine, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory analgesics and adjuvant therapy (lowering agents, antihypertensives, etc.) was allowed.

**Study Subjects**

The study population consisted of consecutive patients over 18 years, diagnosed with rhupus according to the criteria proposed by Simon et al., symmetrical erosive polyarthritis, signs and symptoms of SLE and anti-dsDNA antibodies and/or anti-Sm and joint disease with moderate to severe activity, defined as DAS28 ≥ 3.2. For patients of childbearing age, we used a reliable contraceptive. All patients underwent screening for tuberculosis and viral hepatitis prior to treatment. Exclusion criteria included the presence of pregnancy or lactation, history of hepatitis, need to use other immunosuppressive drugs or concomitant DMARDs (except antimalarials) or active infection at baseline.

**Evaluations**

Patients were evaluated on a quarterly basis throughout the follow-up. At each visit patients were questioned on symptoms suggestive of activity; we performed a complete physical examination by a rheumatologist and determined the DAS28 and MEX-SLEDAI scores and also recorded the presence of concomitant medications and adverse events intentionally. We also carried out routine laboratory studies that included CBC with differential and platelet count, blood chemistry, urinalysis, creatinine clearance, 24 h urine albumin, acute phase reactants (erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein) and C3 and C4 levels.

**Statistical Analysis**

We used descriptive statistics, Fisher’s exact test for qualitative variables and Student’s t test for quantitative variables. The comparison of differences was performed using the Wilcoxon rank test. We used SPSS version 15 in Spanish.

**Results**

Characteristics of the study subjects: nine patients were included, all women, with a mean age of 43 years (range 38–57 years) and a duration of illness of 10.03 years (range 18–22 years). In 6 patients the initial diagnosis was RA and preceded SLE manifestations by 2.5 years on average; in only 3 patients the initial diagnosis was SLE. Table 1 shows the demographic, clinical and serological features, and pretreatment of patients included and the criteria by which the diagnosis of rhupus was made. It should be mentioned that in all patients treated we previously emplo-
Clinical characteristics of patients and serological features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, years</strong></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, F/M</strong></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years diagnosis</strong></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10.03 (1.8–22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arthritis</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photosensitivity</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Discoid lupus</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 (11.1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Renal</strong></td>
<td>III</td>
<td>EE</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>4 (44.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Neurological</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hematological</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>6 (66.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ESR/CRP</strong></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>9 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **ANA, antinuclear antibodies; anti-dsDNA, anti-dsDNA; anti-CCP, anti-citrullinated peptide antibodies; RA, rheumatoid arthritis; F, female; FR, rheumatoid factor; HD, homogeneous diffuse; SLE, systemic lupus erythematosus; LL, leukolymphopenia; LLT, leukolymphopenia and thrombocytopenia; LP, peripheral; M, male; MG, spotted.**

Clinical efficacy: good clinical response was observed, with decrease in the baseline DAS28 score from 5.73 to 4.13 at 3 months and 3.02 at 6 months, a statistically significant change \((P<.001)\). Improvement in DAS28 was maintained during the follow up period, with a DAS 28 score of 3.04 at 12 months and 2.52 at 24 months, as shown in Fig. 1. At 6 months, 3 patients had remission by DAS28 and 3 had low activity. Fig. 2 shows the percentage of patients with high, moderate, low activity or remission by DAS28 at baseline and at 6, 12 and 24 months of follow-up.

Regarding the MEX-SLEDAI score, this was reduced from 5 points at baseline to 2.56 at 3 months and 1.22 at 6 months \((P<.001)\) and maintained this improvement at 12 (0.78) and 24 (0.22) months (Fig. 3). As already mentioned, the decision to apply a second treatment of rituximab depended on clinical activity. Eight patients showed an increase in activity by DAS28 between 9 and 12 months, so they received a second course of rituximab, and only in one patient was this necessary at 15 months. In multivariate analysis, we found a negative correlation between clinical improvement assessed by DAS28 at 12 months and the levels of anti-CCP \((r=−0.794, P=.011)\).

An indirect measure of clinical efficacy of the treatment is the ability to reduce steroid dose and/or discontinue it during the study. The baseline dose of prednisone in our group of patients was 11.66 mg prednisone/day and was progressively decreased to 4.72 mg at 6 months and 0.55 and 1.11 mg/day at 12 and 24 months respectively. The majority of patients did not require oral steroids from 12 months of treatment onward (Fig. 4).

Safety aspects: in general, treatment with rituximab was well tolerated during the study. Adverse events were divided as immediate reactions to the infusion, infectious events and serious adverse events. There were three adverse reactions in 2 patients...
Among the different biologic pharmaceuticals, hence the negative correlation we found between the clinical response and the presence of joint manifestations. Of the few randomized controlled trials that exist, one was performed by a large group of investigators from the United States, which included 257 patients with lupus with moderate to severe activity. This trial showed no significant difference in the percentage of patients achieving major response (1.24% vs 13.9%) or partial response (17.2% vs 12.5%) in the groups treated with rituximab or placebo, respectively. Similar results were observed in the LUNAR study, involving 144 patients with lupus nephritis III or IV, in whom no significant differences could be demonstrated between rituximab and placebo.

However, it is important to note that in both studies, rituximab response seemed better in minority groups and it also should be noted that in both studies, patients were receiving the standard treatment for lupus, making it difficult to detect differences between groups. We reported in 2010 an open study in lupus patients who received cyclophosphamide or rituximab. The results favored rituximab and although all patients had severe manifestations of the disease as a criterion for inclusion, a significant percentage had joint manifestations, which improved.

The results of the French registry of lupus patients treated with rituximab have been recently published. The authors found an adequate clinical response in about 80% of patients, specifically, improvement occurred for articular manifestations in 72%. Currently, other multicenter controlled clinical studies in patients with different manifestations of lupus, such as the RING study, evaluating the efficacy of rituximab to achieve remission in lupus nephritis are underway and the results will certainly be of great value to define even better the usefulness of this biological agent.

In patients with lupus and RA coexist, the prevalence is characteristic for the development of joint manifestations, which usually dominate the clinical picture of the disease, sometimes with mucocutaneous manifestations and much less frequently renal manifestations, serious hematological or nervous system involvement. This was no different in our patients, in which the expression of joint disease was unwieldy, with general or mucocutaneous manifestations associated with low scores explaining MEX-SLEDAI, which, however, improved during the course of the study.

We also described the relationship between the severity of joint manifestations in patients with lupus and positivity for anti-CCP. The negative correlation we found between the titles of these antibodies and clinical response is entirely explicable.

While recognizing the limitations of our work, which included a small number of patients with lupus due to the very low prevalence of this condition, since it is an open uncontrolled study, we believe that the results obtained are encouraging and, to some extent, expected, and considering past experience, which is extensive, treatment with rituximab in patients with RA and reports of improvement in many manifestations of SLE, place rituximab as a very suitable drug for the management of patients with lupus and even lupus and prominent joint manifestations.
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