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Frequency of Gout According to the Perception of Physicians in México

Frecuencia de la gota según la percepción de los médicos en México

To the Editor:

Prevalence studies may underestimate the frequency of some chronic diseases such as gout, as they are asymptomatic for long periods of time; several articles have reported that osteoarthritis (OA) and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) are the most prevalent rheumatic diseases.1-3 In an epidemiological study in our country, in which the COPCORD methodology was used, a prevalence of 10.5% and 1.6% for OA and RA was reported, respectively, whereas the prevalence of gout in this report was 0.3%.4 Reports of incidence in other countries suggest that gout is the most common inflammatory joint disease, in contrast to some studies that indicate other methodology.2-4 In our country, there is no epidemiological data on the incidence of various rheumatic diseases, but we have the perception that some of them are more common than others.

With this in mind, we interviewed 111 doctors, asking them the number of persons among their “known”-first-or second-degree family members, political family and friends, who had the diagnosis of OA, fibromyalgia (FM), RA, lupus erythematosus (SLE), ankylosing spondylitis (AS) or gout. Statistical analysis was performed using descriptive statistics.

The physicians who responded to the survey were 57 men/54 women, 45 (40.5%) medical residents, mainly of internal medicine (17), rheumatology (5) and gastroenterology (4); 37 (33.3%) were medical specialists, of which 29.7% saw musculoskeletal diseases, 70.3% are internists or related subspecialists (9 internists, 2 endocrinologists and 2 geriatricians); finally, 24 (21.6%) were general practitioners and 5 (4.5%) family physicians, with a mean age ± standard deviation 30.9 ± 6.7 years. As perceived by the respondents, 85.5% had at least one family member/friend with one of the diseases mentioned. Each respondent had, on average, 4.3 ± 7.2 (median 2) family/friends with one of the diagnoses. As expected, OA was the most common rheumatic disease followed by gout, RA, FM, SLE and AS (Fig. 1).

The respondents knew 1.3 times more patients with gout among family and friends than someone diagnosed with RA; in addition, we found that there were 1.38, 1.7 and 3.75 times more patients with gout than those observed with FM, SLE and AS, respectively.

The reported differences in the frequency of gout are related to the methodology, the type of study and the approach to diagnosis. It is also possible that these differences are related to the characteristics of the disease, since, unlike the OA and RA, gout has episodic clinical manifestations and may remain asymptomatic for long periods of time. In the various studies, the diagnosis is established variably, either by patient self-report, clinical databases and drug use, evaluation by a family doctor, internist or rheumatologist. Sometimes the diagnosis can be challenging for primary care physicians; the preliminary criteria of the American College of Rheumatology7 have been evaluated in several studies and have shown great limitations.8,9

There are at least 5 proposals for the clinical diagnosis of gout, including 2 very recent,10,11 but both have some controversial points12 and these are taken as the basis for a multicenter, multination study being done in order to propose clinical criteria for the

Fig. 1. Frequency of rheumatic diseases as perceived by physicians. OA (osteoarthritis), RA (rheumatoid arthritis), FM (fibromyalgia), SLE (systemic lupus erythematosus) and AS (ankylosing spondylitis).

classification of gout that may be used by different studies and primary care physicians. Surely, improved detection of this disease will be crucial in the reports of its frequency.
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Eosinophilic pneumonia in a patient with anticitromere antibody

Neumonía eosinofílica en pacientes con anticuerpos anticitroméricos

We read with interest the article by Jaime-Hernández et al. (Reumatol Clin 2012 May–June issue) on eosinophilic pneumonia in patients with autoimmune phenomenon or immunoalergic disease. We would like to share our experience with a patient whose condition was similar to that reported by Jaime-Hernández et al.

A 75-year-old woman was admitted to our hospital because of one-week history of left chest pain. She was never smoker. She had a seven-year history of atrial fibrillation and, thereafter, was prescribed warfarin. On admission, she had no rales in both lungs, and the musculoskeletal examination was also unremarkable. She had no Raynaud’s phenomenon, scleroderma, and dysphagia. The chest X-ray and computed tomography revealed bilateral nonsegmental peripheral infiltrates mainly in the left lung. Laboratory data on admission were as follows: white blood cell 4900/μL (eosinophils: 245/μL), C-reactive protein 3.77 mg/dL, anti-nuclear antibody 1:640, anticitromere antibody 1:640, rheumatoid factor 4 U/mL, RP3-ANCA, MPO-ANCA, anti-ribonucleoprotein antibody, and anti-topoisomerase I antibody were negative. All tests for acid-fast bacilli including culture, and serologic and microscopic testing for fungi was negative. A bronchoalveolar lavage obtained from left upper lobe showed total cell count 8.4 x 10⁵/mL with 16.7% eosinophila. Transbronchial biopsy was not performed because the patient had warfarin for atrial fibrillation. The patient was diagnosed as having eosinophilic pneumonia and was started on 30 mg prednisolone per day. After two weeks of treatment pulmonary infiltrates had normalized. She was successfully weaned off the prednisolone over a period of two months and followed up without recurrence of eosinophilic pneumonia.

Although very rare, there have been some reports with regard to marked eosinophilic pulmonary infiltration in patients, who had high titers of antiautoimmune antibodies. Both of them were diagnosed as having Churg-Strauss syndrome. Our patient had no sign and symptoms of Churg-Strauss syndrome nor any autoimmune diseases. Our patient had a high titer of anticitromere antibody in her serum without any symptoms of CREST syndrome. There might be a possibility that eosinophilic pneumonia developed incidentally in a patient with high titer of anticitromere antibody in serum. However, the case reported by Jaime-Hernández et al. and ourselves suggested that a certain type of eosinophilic pneumonia might have some relationship with autoimmune phenomenon.
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