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Hyper lax Ehlers-Danlos Syndrome: Benign Hypermobility?∗

Síndrome de Ehlers-Danlos hiperlaxo: ¿hipermovilidad benigna?
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Introduction

Joint hypermobility is the largest amplitude of the normal range of motion of joints, due to increased compliance of the ligaments and joint capsules.1 When joint hypermobility is associated with clinical and/or extra-articular manifestations, the Ehlers-Danlos hypermobility syndrome (JHMS) or benign joint hypermobility syndrome occurs, defined by the revised Brighton2∗ (Table 1) criteria. Moreover, according to the classification criteria of the Ehlers-Danlos syndrome (EDS) currently employed,3 the former EDS type 3 is now classified as hyperlax or hypermobile EDS, and would be virtually identical to the benign joint hypermobility syndrome.4 We report the case of a woman with a hyperlax EDS.

Clinical Case

The patient is a 27 year-old female graphic designer with a family history that highlights her father with joint hypermobility mainly of the hands, a sister aged 20 and a paternal cousin of the first degree with symptoms of hypermobility, but not studied. She has a history of two pregnancies, an abortion and a healthy 7-year-old daughter, who also has a joint hyperlaxity documented by a Beighton 9/9 hypermobility. From birth the patient showed “extreme” mobility of the joints, which delayed the start of gait and led to repeat sprains since childhood. She frequently performed joint hypermobility maneuvers (Fig. 1). She had bruises and frequent epistaxis. Study of coagulation and platelet function were performed before birth, being normal. Since 3 years prior presents repeating subluxations in the knees and shoulders, so has to travel in a wheelchair for long distances. She presents relapsing tendinopathy of the wrists after holding weight or maintaining positions for writing or drawing, so she cannot practice her profession. Systemic examination was normal. No skin elasticity or transparency was observed, nor was ecchymosis, atopic dermatitis on elbow flexure lesions; Beighton test was 9/9. Passive and active joint mobilities in all joints were explored, over the normal range (Fig. 2), leading to subluxation. The echocardiogram was normal.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Main criteria</td>
<td>Minor criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Four or more points of the Beighton criteria</td>
<td>One to 3 points of the Beighton criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint pain for longer than 3 months in at least 4 joints</td>
<td>Joint pain in one, 2 or 3 joints or back pain or dorsal root pain for more than 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dislocation or subluxation of more than one joint, or one joint more than once</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Three or more periarticular lesions (tennis elbow, tenosynovitis, bursitis, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marfanoid habitus (tall, thin, arachnodactyly, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Skin abnormalities: striations, hyperextensibility, thin skin, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ocular signs myopia or Antimongoloid type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Varicosities or hernias or uterine or rectal prolapse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The benign joint hypermobility syndrome is diagnosed if 2 main criteria, or one major and 2 minor, or 4 minor criteria are met. Two minor criteria will suffice if a first degree relative is affected. Major criterion number 1 and minor criteria number 1 and 2 and major criterion 2 and the minor criterion 2 are exclusive.

Discussion

Joint hypermobility is less a skill than a problem; there is also a relative confusion in terminology as we stated in the
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its anxiety, treatment with well
inadequate.

The most common types are the classic EDS (by a defect of collagen type V, being a mutation in the COL5A1 and COL5A2 genes in half of the cases), vascular EDS (by a defect in type III collagen, with anomalies in the COL3A1 allele) and hypermobile or hyper-lax EDS. But it is increasingly clear that the current classification is inadequate and many patients have overlapping forms of EDS, so they cannot be unambiguously classified into one of
recognized subtypes.

Hypermobility EDS (equivalent to benign joint hypermobility syndrome) is characterized by skin changes (soft, elastic, abnormal scarring), joint pain, myalgia, tenosynovitis and recurrent subluxations. In children it often delays walking, leads to body contortions (“rubber children”) or ‘juggling acts’ with hands. No inflammatory signs, laboratory tests or specific radiological signs are found. The precise biochemical alterations and the genetic defects are not well known; there is a family history and it was once considered of autosomal dominant inheritance, but some recent studies indicate that in less than 10% of cases there may be a defect in tensacin gene X, a glycoprotein in the extracellular matrix which is manifested recessively. Its controversial association with mitral valve prolapse, which does not seem to be more prevalent in hyper-mobile EDS, shows a higher prevalence in a study of patients with hypermobility. Its correlation has been demonstrated with chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia anxiety, depression, panic
attacks, agoraphobia dysautonomia and osteoporosis in pre-menopausal women.

The diagnosis of this syndrome is clinical and confirmed using Brighton criteria. Treatment includes preventive measures, rest, physiotherapy and analgesia in the acute phase and then a multidisciplinary rehabilitation approach to restore normal range of joint motion, correct movement dysfunction, improve joint stability, physical condition and mental impact by benign hypermobility. Again adjectives demonstrate how relative they can become.
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