A female 62-year-old patient was diagnosed with osteoporotic compression fractures at the D10 level and referred to a tertiary center for study and initiation of antiresorptive therapy. She reported her menarche at age 15 and menopause at age 45. She had a calcium intake over 1000 mg/day, as well as adequate sun exposure and physical activity, and had no history of previous fractures, thyroid disease or urolithiasis. She had recently been diagnosed with hypercholesterolemia and received simvastatin, but denied using other drugs. The vertebral collapse was assumed to be asymptomatic as there was no pain or a history of previous trauma. On examination, her height was 147 cm, and she weighed 58 kg (BMI 26), and presented mild thoracolumbar kyphosis with left convexity scoliosis but the spinal mobility and neurological examination were normal. Laboratory tests, including calcium, phosphorus, parathyroid hormone, thyrotropin, 25 (OH) vitamin D3, calcitriol and tubular reabsorption of phosphate, were normal. Densitometry showed spinal (T score: –2.8) and femoral neck osteoporosis (T score: –3.2). A lateral spine X-ray showed an apparent severe anterior vertebral collapse at D10, but magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed that this actually corresponded to a typical ‘butterfly vertebra’ (Figs. 1 and 2). The patient was diagnosed with densitometric osteoporosis and the onset of antiresorptive therapy was postponed.

Butterfly vertebra (also known as vertebral sagittal cleft, anterior rachischisis, somatoschisis, spina bifida or above) is a rare congenital malformation caused by the failure of fusion of the lateral chordification nuclei of vertebrae developing secondary to incomplete embryological regression originating in the notochordal sagittal funnel shaped groove into the vertebral body, through which the adjacent vertebral discs are connected. This defect occurs between the third and sixth weeks of gestation and is located most frequently at the lumbar level. It is associated with other congenital abnormalities such as Mullerian hypo/aplasia and Jarcho-Levin, Pfeiffer, Crouzon, Alagille and Kallmann syndromes, although it can also occur in isolation. Patients are usually asymptomatic and this malformation is usually detected incidentally, but it may alter the biomechanics of the spine causing atypical back pain or increasing the chance of disk herniation. The lateral X-rays show a trapezoidal or anterior cuneiform morphology, so it may be confused with osteoporotic vertebral collapse or other pathological vertebral fractures, including traumatic, infectious or metastatic ones. The wedging is caused by anterior hypo/aplasia due to a congenital deficiency of vascularization. In the anteroposterior radiograph it is easily detected because the vertebra is divided into two hemivertebrae (usually symmetrical, but may be of different size conditioning scoliosis), which look like the wings of a butterfly, although this X-ray image was not seen in our case. CT and MRI are recommended in doubtfull cases or to exclude other associated congenital anomalies such as vertebral bars, supernumerary lumbar vertebrae, spina bifida, kyphoscoliosis or diastematomyelia. The use of three-dimensional fetal ultrasonography has been recently described for the prenatal diagnosis of butterfly vertebra. In conclusion, although butterfly vertebra is uncommon, it should be considered in the differential diagnosis of osteoporotic compression fractures.
Ethical Responsibilities

Protection of people and animals. The authors declare that this research has not performed experiments on humans or animals.

Confidentiality of data. The authors declare that they have followed the protocols of their workplace regarding the publication of data from patients and all patients included in the study have received sufficient information and gave written informed consent to participate in the study.

Right to privacy and informed consent. The authors have obtained informed consent from patients and/or subjects referred to in the article. This document is in the possession of the corresponding author.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

References