Application of a Prediction Model for the Progression of Rheumatoid Arthritis in Patients With Undifferentiated Arthritis
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Abstract

Introduction: Different prediction rules have been applied to patients with undifferentiated arthritis (UA) to identify those that progress to rheumatoid arthritis (RA). The Leiden Prediction Rule (LPR) has proven useful in different UA cohorts.

Objective: To apply the LPR to a cohort of patients with UA of northeastern Mexico.

Methods: We included 47 patients with UA; LPR was applied at baseline. They were evaluated and then classified after 1 year of follow-up into 2 groups: those who progressed to RA (according to ACR 1987) and those who did not.

Results: 43% of the AI patients developed RA. In the RA group, 56% of patients obtained a score ≤ 6 and only 15% ≥ 8. 70% who did not progress to RA had a score between 6 and ≤ 8. There was no difference in median score of LPR between groups, P = .940.

Conclusion: Most patients who progressed to RA scored less than 6 points in the LPR. Unlike what was observed in other cohorts, the model in our population did not allow us to predict the progression of the disease.

© 2013 Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.
Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by progressive joint deterioration that can generate permanent disability, which affects the patient’s quality of life and economy due to absenteeism and the high cost of treatment. Diagnosis and treatment in its early stages prevent disease progression. Identification of RA patients at increased risk of progression is critical to the rapid onset of treatment and is one of the objectives of the Early Arthritis Clinic (EAC), which usually include patients with undifferentiated arthritis (UA), a type of arthritis defined as not meeting criteria for classifying as a specific inflammatory disease.

Patients with UA can progress into remission, remain as UA, or progress to a definite inflammatory disease such as RA. Different prediction models have been developed to evaluate patients with UA who are at risk of developing RA; Leiden’s University developed a prediction model (LPM) to identify these patients with a previously described methodology. The LPM includes the following clinical variables: age, gender, distribution of affected joints, severity of morning stiffness, painful and swollen joints, measurement of C-reactive protein (CRP), the presence of rheumatoid factor (RF), and anti–cyclic citrullinated peptide antibodies (anti-CCP). This model establishes an 84% probability of progression to RA in the first year in patients presenting a baseline assessment equal to or greater than 8. The LPM has been applied and validated in several cohorts in the world, with different inclusion criteria. In Mexico, there are no reports of the application of this model, so the objective of this study was to determine if the LPM is useful in our population to predict progression to UA and RA.

Materials and Methods

Patients belonging to an EAC established in a university tertiary hospital, serving patients in northeastern Mexico were selected. The EAC includes individuals over 18 years, from an epidemiological study of the state of Nuevo Leon, performed using the Community Oriented Program for the Control of the Rheumatic Diseases, COPCORD methodology, which has been previously described, and by patients who are referred from other hospitals. Patients being admitted to the EAC, are classified into one of the following 3 groups: those with RA of less than 1 year since onset of symptoms, those with UA defined by the presence of 1 or more swollen joints for more than a week and less than 1 year of evolution, and another group of patients at high risk of arthritis (joint pain patients without the presence of arthritis). Patients who meet well-defined criteria for classification of other inflammatory diseases at admission are excluded. Two certified rheumatologists assess patients in the EAC at each visit, in which physical examination is performed with evaluation of swollen and tender joints.

In this study, between 2008 and 2011, we included only patients with UA who were administered the LPM upon admission to the clinic. All patients underwent, on the baseline visit, RF determined by nephelometry (Behring Nephelometer laser no. 441197/71160) and anti-CCP antibodies by first generation ELISA (EUROINMUN®), and at each assessment we determined the erythrocyte sedimentation rate and CRP.

Later, at 1 year, patients were reclassified on the basis of progression or not to RA according to 1987 classification criteria of the American College of Rheumatology.

Statistical analysis: numeric variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation or median and interquartile range (IQR), according to their distribution. Categorical variables were expressed as percentages. A bivariate analysis of the numerical variables comparing them with the Student’s t test or the Mann Whitney as a function of its distribution, was performed. For categorical variables, the chi-square test was used. The LPM was analyzed by comparing the medians of the scores obtained with the test of Mann–Whitney U; subsequently, patients were subdivided according to the result (more or less than 8 points) and evaluated by the Kaplan–Meier survival curve after a year to progress to RA. Finally, a plot of distribution was performed in accordance with the LPM score obtained and whether or not the patient progressed to RA.

Results

The study included 47 patients with UA, with a mean age of 51.6 ± 9.5 years, 46 patients (98%) were women; 42 (89%) patients came from the COPCORD study. At follow-up, 20 patients (43%) had developed RA (RA group) and 27 (57%) did not progress to RA (non-RA group). In the non-RA group, 6 patients (13%) had resolution of arthritis, 12 patients (25%) persisted with UA, 9 patients (19%) met criteria for classification of other rheumatic diseases (6 with osteoarthritis, 1 with fibromyalgia, 1 with Sjögren’s syndrome and 1 enteropathic arthritis).

Baseline characteristics of patients and the bivariate analysis are shown in Table 1. When evaluating the score for LPM, in the RA group we found: 10 patients (50%) with a score <6, 7 patients (35%) with a score between 6 and <8, and finally 3 patients (15%) with ≥ 8 points. In the non-RA group we found: 8 patients (30%) with <6 points, 19 patients (70%) with a score between 6 and <8, and none with 8 or more points (Fig. 1). The RA group had a mean LPM score of 6 (IQR 1.77), whereas in the non-RA group the score was 6.5 (RIQ 1.22) (P = .840).

The LPM score was assessed using the Kaplan–Meier test and Log rank, and found that patients with a score ≥8 showed a statistically significant difference in their progression to RA (P = .01) (Fig. 2).

Discussion

Early detection of UA in patients with high risk of progression to RA has become the current challenge in order to initiate treatment in a timely manner and, therefore, alter the course of the disease and prevent joint destruction, deformity and disability in RA patients.
Most of our patients came from COPCORD and 40% of patients who progressed to RA were enrolled in the study with less than 3 months duration, which could somehow increase the likelihood of negative outcomes in the RF and anti-CCP and, therefore, on the score of LPM.

There are limitations to the study that should be considered when interpreting the results; the first is that the sample size was small, although all patients with UA in the EAC were included; this however, allowed us to determine the poor performance of LPM in our population; the second limitation is that the determination of anti-CCP antibodies was performed using first generation ELISA, with a sensitivity that is lower than the second generation, which could influence the low score of the LPM. The difference between tender and swollen joints in the RA group can be explained by the origin of the patients and also due to the presence of other concomitant diseases such as fibromyalgia, which can increase the perception of pain when not adjusted.

In conclusion, 43% of patients with UA progressed to RA after 1 year of follow up. 56% of patients who progressed to RA had a score that was $<6$ in the LPM, in contrast to what has been reported in the cohort of Leiden, where it was less than 10%; these results did not allow us to establish a prediction of progression to RA using the LPM.
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