

Reumatología Clínica



www.reumatologiaclinica.org

Review

Does early treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis lead to a better long-term prognosis?

Virginia Villaverde García^a and Alejandro Balsa Criado,^{b,*}

^a Sección de Reumatología, Hospital de Móstoles, Móstoles, Madrid, Spain ^b Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain

ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T	
Article history: Received July 21, 2009 Accepted October 24, 2009	Time is a crucial dimension in most chronic diseases, especially in inflammatory rheumatic disease, which it affects in many ways. Early treatment in rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an essential issue, as joint damage occurs within the first weeks or months of the disease process and inflammatory activity maintained over	
Keywords: Rheumatoid artritis Treatment Early response	time is responsible for all of the consequences of the disease. The introduction of new drugs with faster and more effective action, such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF) inhibitors, has represented a major shift in the strategy of RA treatment, allowing the clinician to aim for remission and prevention of structural damage as realizable goals.	
AntiTNF PEGilation	© 2009 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.	
	¿El control precoz de la artritis reumatoide augura un mejor pronóstico a largo plazo?	
	R E S U M E N	
Palabras clave:		

Р Artritis reumatoide Tratamiento Respuesta precoz Anti-TNF Certolizumab pegol

El tiempo es una dimensión de importancia capital en la mayoría de las enfermedades crónicas y, especialmente, en las enfermedades reumáticas inflamatorias, donde influye en muchos aspectos. El tratamiento precoz de la artritis reumatoide es esencial, debido a que el proceso destructivo articular comienza muy pronto, en las primeras semanas o meses, y la actividad inflamatoria mantenida en el tiempo es responsable de todas las consecuencias de la enfermedad. La introducción de fármacos nuevos con una acción más rápida y eficaz, como los fármacos biológicos anti-TNF, ha supuesto un cambio radical en la estrategia de tratamiento de la artritis reumatoide, permitiendo, incluso, que la inducción de la remisión y la detención del proceso destructivo articular sean unos objetivos posibles.

© 2009 Elsevier España, S.L. Todos los derechos reservados.

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic inflammatory joint disease that affects 0.5% of the Spanish population.¹ Although in the '60s it was considered a relatively benign disease, in recent decades it has been shown that its natural evolution leads to not only major radiological and functional deterioration, but also a decline in the quality of life and increased morbidity and mortality,² which does not correspond to the old concept of 'benign'.

Although radiological and functional deterioration progresses slowly over the course of the disease, it has been found that the maximum speed of development of structural damage occurs during the first years,^{3,4} so it is important to approach the disease early, and

* Corresponding author.

the outcome has improved considerably due both to the availability of new drugs and the development of more effective therapeutic strategies, such as early and aggressive treatment, the combination of drugs, steroids or close monitoring of disease.⁵ One of the most important therapeutic principles is intense and early treatment, which has been particularly highlighted in the recent EULAR guidelines for management of arthritis of recent onset^{6,7} (Table 1).

The meaning of time in the treatment of RA has at least three dimensions, and although conceptually different, have similar prognostic significance. The first aspect is the time it takes to start treatment with disease modifying drugs (DMARDs) as the joint destructive process begins very early, in the first weeks or months of disease. In mouse models, activation of osteoclasts, which precedes erosion is detected even before clinical symptoms are evident⁸ and in early RA of less than 6 months, radiological erosions can be detected

E-mail address: abalsa.hulp@salud.madrid.org (A. Balsa Criado).

¹⁶⁹⁹⁻²⁵⁸X/\$ - see front matter © 2009 Elsevier España, S.L. All rights reserved.

Table 1

EULAR recommendations for management of recent onset arthritis.⁶ DMARD indicates disease modifying drugs; RA, rheumatoid arthritis

- Patients with arthritis of more than one joint should be referred and assisted by the rheumatologist in the first 6 weeks after onset of symptoms Physical examination is the method of choice to detect synovitis. In doubtful cases,
- ultrasound, power Doppler and magnetic resonance imaging may be useful To exclude other rheumatic diseases, a careful history and physical examination
- must be performed. The following tests must be performed: complete blood count, transaminases, urinalysis, and antinuclear antibody titers In all patients with recent arthritis onset, the rheumatologist must measure
- the number of tender and swollen joints, ESR or CRP, rheumatoid factor, anti-CCP antibodies and search for radiological erosions
- Patients at high risk of persistent disease and/or erosive arthritis, but that do not meet the classification criteria for established RA, should receive DMARD therapy as soon as possible
- It is very important to inform the patient about the course of the disease and its treatment. Educational programs can be used to improve disability and help support work activity
- The prescription of NSAIDs should be considered in symptomatic patients after assessing the gastrointestinal, renal and cardiac risk
- Systemic corticosteroids reduce pain and inflammation and should be considered (temporarily) as part of combination therapy with DMARDs. Corticosteroid injections should be considered to improve local pain and inflammation
- Among the DMARDs, methotrexate is the first choice to be used in patients at risk of developing persistent disease
- The main goal of DMARD therapy is to achieve remission. Regular monitoring of disease activity and adverse effects of medication (DMARD, including biologics), will help in making decisions and in changing the therapeutic strategy
- Non-pharmacological interventions such as dynamic exercises, occupational therapy and hydrotherapy may be recommended
- Disease monitoring should include: tender and swollen joint count, global assessment of disease by the physician and patient, ESR and CRP. One must assess arthritis activity every 1-3 months, until remission has been achieved. Joint damage should be evaluated by radiographs of the hands and feet every 6-12 months during the early years. The HAQ can be used to assess disease activity and monitor structural damage

in 8%-40% of patients, and in up to 45%-72% with more sensitive imaging techniques such as MRI.9 Therefore, the sooner an effective treatment is started, the sooner progression of the disease will stop or slow and existing damage may stop or progress more slowly. The benefits of early treatment compared with later therapy have been demonstrated with all anti-rheumatic drugs such as gold salts,¹⁰ sulphasalazine,^{11,12} hydroxychloroquine, methotrexate¹³ or drug combinations.¹⁴ However, an important aspect, albeit controversial, is the duration of a period that is closely linked to the existence of a so-called "window of opportunity".6 This hypothesis suggests that there exists a short period of time at the start of the inflammatory process in which effective treatment could substantially alter the course of the disease and even lead to healing. An appropriate therapeutic intervention at this time will have a far greater impact on outcome, such as structural damage progression or the appearance of remission, than the same intervention initiated later on.¹⁵

In RA there are several features that have been associated with a worse prognosis^{3,16} (Table 2). Some are directly dependent on the disease and, therefore, slightly modified, such as the initial number of swollen joints, presence of rheumatoid factor and antibodies to citrullinated peptide, elevated acute phase reactants, the initial existence of early radiographic erosions, a high degree of initial disability and the presence of HLA shared epitope,¹⁷ while another, as or more important than the above, is the time since the onset of disease until treatment begins.^{8,18,19} It has been shown in two metaanalysis that the duration of the disease at the time of the introduction of the first DMARD is the main factor predicting treatment response²⁰ and that the early use of DMARDs in recent onset RA is capable of achieving a significant decrease of the progression of structural damage in the medium and long term.²¹ As the prognosis depends largely on early treatment (ideally before the onset of radiological consequences), early referral to a specialist from primary care and

Table 2

Predictors of progression of disease in recent onset rheumatoid arthritis

Acute phase reactants (ESR, CRP)
Rheumatoid factor
Anti-CCP antibodies
Radiological erosions
Duration of symptoms
High number of tender and swollen joints
Smoking
HLA DRB1*04 genes

early diagnosis are key,^{7,22} and this reason alone justifies the existence of clinics aimed at addressing recent onset arthritis.²³⁻²⁵ According to the latest recommendations by EULAR, a patient with arthritis of more than one joint should be treated by a specialist within the first 6 weeks after onset of symptoms (Table 1).⁶

The second aspect is related to the time the inflammatory process has been present. Sustained activity is the cause of all articular and extraarticular consequences of RA²⁶ and it has been shown, unequivocally, that there is a very close relationship between the duration and amount of inflammatory activity, as measured by classic indices such as DAS or SDAI, and the extent of structural damage.²⁷ This relationship is both for the joint cartilage damage, assessed by the reduction of joint space, as for bony destruction, which is reflected in the number and size of erosions. These two processes, although closely related, are pathogenetically distinct and can be distinguished by detailed radiological indices and may be affected differently by the treatments.²⁸ Although conventional radiography remains the standard for the assessment of joint damage, new imaging techniques such as MRI and ultrasound can not only define better the structural lesions (more sensitive in detecting bone erosions), but also allow direct visualization of synovial inflammation of the tendons and detect varying degrees of synovial inflammation even in asymptomatic joints.29-31

Disability is one of the most important complications of RA and one of the more difficult to assess, since it has various components. Part of disability is not directly related to joint involvement in RA and is due to factors that may or may not be related, such as age, gender, social and psychological factors, muscle strength and comorbidities.³² Another part of disability, specific joint involvement, has at least two components, one related to inflammatory activity and, therefore, reversible, while the other is related to joint damage and, therefore, irreversible.^{7,33,34} In recent onset arthritis, disability is fundamentally related to inflammatory activity, while in established RA, the permanent structural damage is a major component, so the only way to prevent permanent disability is to effectively treat and control inflammatory activity. The duration of the disease has a similar relationship with disability, as it is a reflection of activity during time,³⁴ so that in disease over 10 years since onset, the ability to detect significant changes in the HAQ disability questionnaire³⁵ is very limited. Disability has major implications for patients, since it is the factor that most consistently predicts serious outcomes such as costs or mortality.36

Another lesser-known consequence of sustained inflammatory activity in RA is increased mortality due mainly to comorbidities and especially to cardiovascular diseases. In RA, the systemic inflammatory process is key to the accelerated development of atherosclerosis.^{37,38} These patients have more prevalent cardiovascular disease than the general population, manifested in a less evident manner and associated with increased mortality after a first cardiovascular event (Table 3). This excess cardiovascular mortality has been observed in patients with early RA in primary care.³⁹ The measurement of the size of the intima in the carotid area is an index that has been accepted as evidence of subclinical atherosclerosis⁴⁰ and allows for measuring

Table 3

RA and cardiovascular disease (CV). RA indicates rheumatoid arthritis

meet at least five of the seven criteria	
Pain visual analogue scale (0-10)	≤ 2
Number of painful joints (0-28)	≤ 1
Number of swollen joints (0-28)	≤ 1
HAQ (0-3)	≤ 0.5
Disease activity defined by the physician (0-10)	≤ 1.5
Disease activity defined by the patient (0-10)	≤ 1.5
ESR	≤ 20 mm
If the speed of the clinical effect is importa	int, we must know how
	Number of painful joints (0-28) Number of swollen joints (0-28) HAQ (0-3) Disease activity defined by the physician (0-10) Disease activity defined by the patient (0-10)

2. Increased cardiovascular mortality

- Reduction of screening and treatment of traditional CV risk factors in patients with RA
- Underdiagnosed CV disease
- Larger extension of CV disease
- Increased mortality after the appearance of the first CV event

cardiovascular risk in patients with RA, correlated with increased C-reactive protein, cytokines, adhesion molecules, smoking and age at onset. The early and effective treatment of the inflammatory process determines a regression of intimal thickness in the area of the carotid artery induced by RA and improves prognosis.^{41,42} Finally, the prevalence of lymphoproliferative disorders is also more common in RA and is associated with the degree of joint swelling over time.43 Besides the direct impact on patient health, RA has important economic consequences in both direct and indirect costs, which are the result of sustained activity and relate directly to disability measured by HAO.44

The last but not least aspect related to time, is the speed of therapeutic response. Until recently, the main objective in the management of RA was to reduce the impact the disease had on patients, including reducing pain and inflammation. With the emergence of new strategies and therapeutic targets, the goal of treatment has changed substantially^{5,7} and now should be the early suppression of inflammation and, ideally, the induction of remission to prevent structural damage, disability and comorbidities of long-term illness. In both clinical trials and observational studies, the therapeutic response, assessed by the possibility of reaching minimal inflammatory activity⁴⁵ (Table 4) depends, as discussed above, on the duration of arthritis²⁰ and also, very importantly, on the baseline inflammatory activity.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ Both in clinical trials and observational studies,49 the therapeutic response of recent onset RA is clearly superior to that of established RA and the probability of achieving remission and/or minimal inflammatory activity a year after starting treatment directly depends on the level of baseline activity,50 which justifies the early use of all drugs and strategies to prevent inflammatory activity in RA from reaching very high levels that would be difficult to monitor. Admittedly, there is a lag between the disappearance of clinical symptoms and improvement in inflammatory activity, which can be detected by highly sensitive imaging tests such as ultrasound,³¹ and that clinically translates to the appearance of erosions in patients in remission. This finding is surprising and is often a result of inflammatory activity that preceded remission,⁵¹ so the faster and more effectively we reduce clinical activity, the lesser the permanent consequences. It has been shown that no radiological progression occurs with methotrexate if remission was achieved in the first 3 months of treatment, while concomitant treatment with an anti-TNF drug was enough to reach low activity at 3 months.⁵² The speed and quality of the initial response predicts outcome more satisfactorily, since the duration of disability is lower and therefore associated to fewer job loss^{53,54} and improvement is more likely to be maintained in the long-term.55

Table 4

Parameters of the WHO/ILAR to define minimal inflammatory activity in RA. Must

Dein eine 1 auch ann 1 (0, 10)	12
Pain visual analogue scale (0-10)	≤2
Number of painful joints (0-28)	≤ 1
Number of swollen joints (0-28)	≤ 1
HAQ (0-3)	≤ 0.5
Disease activity defined by the physician (0-10)	≤ 1.5
Disease activity defined by the patient (0-10)	≤ 1.5
ESR	≤ 20 mm

to achieve a clinically significant effect as soon as possible. The classic DMARDS, such as methotrexate (in a pattern of rapid dose escalation) and leflunomide, are still the initial treatment in most patients,^{56,57} being able to show a clinically relevant effect between 4 and 6 weeks,⁵⁸ but other DMARD such as sulphasalazine or antimalarials have a slower effect and a higher frequency of treatment failure. That is why before the onset of sustained inflammatory activity, biological therapy, usually in combination with traditional DMARDs, is the most convenient, because it also has a faster and more intense onset than classic DMARD.⁵⁹ One of the characteristics of anti-TNF biologics in combination with methotrexate is the speed of clinical response, which is higher than that of methotrexate alone and can already be seen in the first 2 weeks of treatment, as has been demonstrated with adalimumab in the PREMIER study,⁴⁷ with infliximab in the ASPIRE study,⁶⁰ with etanercept in the ERA study⁶¹ and with certolizumab pegol in a paper published by Keystone.⁶² The results of these studies support the early and intense treatment of RA, as well as the superiority of the combination of methotrexate and anti-TNF versus methotrexate alone in terms of controlling symptoms and preventing structural damage and disabilities.^{63,64} Inhibition of the progression of structural damage can be observed even at 16 weeks of starting anti-TNF therapy, as demonstrated⁶⁵ with the anti-TNF certolizumab pegol (PEGylated). The speed of response of anti-TNF can also be seen in the results of the BeSt study⁶³ which compared four treatment strategies in patients with recent onset arthritis, in sequential monotherapy, additive treatment (*step-up regimen*), *step down* combination therapy or COBRA type treatment (methotrexate, sulphasalazine and high doses of prednisone 60 mg/day at the beginning, lowered in 6 weeks to 7.5 mg until suspension at 28 weeks) and finally initial treatment with infliximab plus methotrexate. The improvement in the variables related to clinical activity, quality of life, HAQ and radiological progression were higher in the groups that had a more rapid initial improvement (groups 3 and 4) compared with initial monotherapy treatment groups (Groups 1 and 2) who had a slower response. The utility of high doses of prednisone, included in a combination treatment for inducing remission in RA patients, was demonstrated in the COBRA study. Despite the recognized effectiveness of treatment, several reports demonstrate its limited use in clinical practice, due to the complexity of administration and the reluctance of patients.^{64,66} In general, it is recognized that long term high-dose steroid therapy should be avoided, but low doses (5 mg of prednisone) in addition to treatment with DMARDs can be very effective when osteoporosis prevention is also performed. However, the duration of low-dose steroid therapy in the long term remains a matter of controversy.^{6,7}

Disclosures

The authors have no dosiclosures to make.

Financing

This work has been financed by UCB laboratories.

References

- 1. Carmona L, Villaverde V, Hernández-García C, Ballina J, Gabriel R, Laffon A, et al. The prevalence of rheumatoid arthritis in the general population of Spain. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2002;41:88-95.
- Gabriel SE, Crowson CS, O'Fallon WM. The epidemiology of rheumatoid arthritis in Rochester, Minnesota, 1955–1985. Arthritis Rheum. 1999;42:415-20.
- Plant MJ, Jones PW, Saklatvala J, Ollier WE, Dawes PT. Patterns of radiological progression in early rheumatoid arthritis: results of an 8 year prospective study. J Rheumatol. 1998;25:417-26.
- Sherrer YS, Bloch DA, Mitchell DM, Young DY, Fries JF. The development of disability in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 1986;29:494-500.
- Sokka T, Makinen H. Drug management of early rheumatoid arthritis-2008. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2009;23:93-102.
- 6. Combe B, Landewe R, Lukas C, Bolosiu HD, Breedveld F, Dougados M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of early arthritis: report of a task force of the European Standing Committee for International Clinical Studies Including Therapeutics (ESCISIT). Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:34-45.
- 7. Combe B. Early rheumatoid arthritis: strategies for prevention and management. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2007;21:27-42.
- Hayer S, Redlich K, Korb A, Hermann S, Smolen J, Schett G. Tenosynovitis and osteoclast formation as the initial preclinical changes in a murine model of inflammatory arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;56:79-88.
- Ostergaard M, Ejbjerg B, Szkudlarek M. Imaging in early rheumatoid arthritis: roles of magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasonography, conventional radiography and computed tomography. Best Pract Res Clin Rheumatol. 2005;19:91-116.
- Buckland-Wright JC, Clarke GS, Chikanza IC, Grahame R. Quantitative microfocal radiography detects changes in erosion area in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis treated with myocrisine. J Rheumatol. 1993;20:243-7.
- Van der Heijde DM, Jacobs JW, Bijlsma JW, Heurkens AHM, Van B-FC, Van-der VMB, et al. The effectineness of early treatment with "second-line" antirheumatic drugs. A randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med. 1996;124:699-707.
- Tsakonas E, Fitzgerald AA, Fitzcharles MA, Cividino A, Thorne JC, S'Seffar A, et al. Consequences of delayed therapy with second-line agents in rheumatoid arthritis: a 3 year followup on the hydroxychloroquine in early rheumatoid arthritis (HERA) study. J Rheumatol. 2000;27:623-9.
- Nell VP, Machold KP, Eberl G, Stamm TA, Uffmann M, Smolen JS. Benefit of very early referral and very early therapy with disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2004;43:906-14.
- 14. Mottonen T, Hannonen P, Korpela M, Nissila M, Kautiainen H, Ilonen J, et al. Delay to institution of therapy and induction of remission using single-drug or combination-disease-modifying antirheumatic drug therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2002;46:894-8.
- Cush JJ. Early arthritis clinics: if you build it will they come? J Rheumatol. 2005;32:203-7.
- Villaverde V, Balsa A. Factores pronósticos de la artritis reumatoide. Rev Esp Reumatol. 2002;29:10-5.
- Andreu JL, Silva L, Sanz J, Muñoz P. Optimización del tratamiento clásico de la artritis reumatoide. Reumatol Clin. 2006;2(Suppl 2):51-8.
- Green D, Marzo-Ortega H, McGonagle D, Wakefield RJ, Proudman SM, Conaghan PG, et al. Persistence of mild, early inflammatory arthritis: the importance of disease duration, rheumatoid factor, and the shared epitope. Arthritis Rheum. 1999;42:2184-8.
- Lard LD, Visser H, Speyer I, van-der H-BIE, Zwinderman AH, Breedveld FC, et al. Early versus delayed treatment in patients with recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis: comparison of two cohorts who received different treatment strategies. Am J Med. 2001;111:446-51.
- Anderson JJ, Wells G, Verhoeven AC, Felson DT. Factors predicting response to treatment in rheumatoid arthritis: the importance of disease duration. Arthritis Rheum. 2000;43:22-9.
- Finckh A, Liang MH, van Herckenrode CM, de Pablo P. Long-term impact of early treatment on radiographic progression in rheumatoid arthritis: A meta-analysis. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;55:864-72.
- 22. Emery P, Breedveld FC, Dougados M, Kalden JR, Schiff MH, Smolen JS. Early referral recommendation for newly diagnosed rheumatoid arthritis: evidence based development of a clinical guide. Ann Rheum Dis. 2002;61:290-7.
- Martínez A, Batlle GE, Pascual E. Curso y evolución de la artritis reumatoide. Factores pronósticos. Semin Fund Esp Reumatol. 2000;1:65-72.
- Villaverde V, Balsa A, Martín-Mola E. Estrategias para un diagnóstico y tratamiento precoz de la artritis reumatoide. Implantación de consultas de artritis de reciente comienzo. Semin Fund Esp Reumatol. 2000;1:3-9.
- Machold KP, Eberl G, Leeb BF, Nell V, Windisch B, Smolen JS. Early arthritis therapy: rationale and current approach. J Rheumatol Suppl. 1998;53:13-9.
- Smolen JS, Aletaha D. Developments in the clinical understanding of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Res Ther. 2009;11:204.
- Scott DL, Smith C, Kingsley G. Joint damage and disability in rheumatoid arthritis: an updated systematic review. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2003;21(Suppl 31):S20-7.
- Smolen JS, Van der Heijde DM, Aletaha D, Xu S, Han J, Baker D, et al. Progression of radiographic joint damage in rheumatoid arthritis: Independence of erosions and joint space narrowing. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009;68:1535-40.
- Wakefield RJ, Green MJ, Marzo-Ortega H, Conaghan PG, Gibbon WW, McGonagle D, et al. Should oligoarthritis be reclassified? Ultrasound reveals a high prevalence of subclinical disease. Ann Rheum Dis. 2004;63:382-5.
- Goupille P, Roulot B, Akoka S, Avimadje AM, Garaud P, Naccache L, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging: a valuable method for the detection of synovial inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2001;28:35-40.

- Wakefield RJ, Freeston JE, Hensor EM, Bryer D, Quinn MA, Emery P. Delay in imaging versus clinical response: a rationale for prolonged treatment with antitumor necrosis factor medication in early rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;57:1564-7.
- Pincus T. Rheumatology function tests: quantitative physical measures to monitor morbidity and predict mortality in patients with rheumatic diseases. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2005;23(5 Suppl 39):S85-9.
- Combe B, Cantagrel A, Goupille P, Bozonnat MC, Sibilia J, Eliaou JF, et al. Predictive factors of 5-year health assessment questionnaire disability in early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol. 2003;30:2344-9.
- Aletaha D, Smolen J, Ward MM. Measuring function in rheumatoid arthritis: Identifying reversible and irreversible components. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;54: 2784-92.
- Aletaha D, Strand V, Smolen JS, Ward MM. Treatment-related improvement in physical function varies with duration of rheumatoid arthritis: a pooled analysis of clinical trial results. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008;67:238-43.
- Pollard L, Choy EH, Scott DL. The consequences of rheumatoid arthritis: quality of life measures in the individual patient. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2005;23(5 Suppl 39):S43-52.
- del RI, Williams K, Stern MP, Freeman GL, Escalante A. High incidence of cardiovascular events in a rheumatoid arthritis cohort not explained by traditional cardiac risk factors. Arthritis Rheum. 2001;44:2737-45.
- Nicola PJ, Maradit-Kremers H, Roger VL, Jacobsen SJ, Crowson CS, Ballman KV, et al. The risk of congestive heart failure in rheumatoid arthritis: a population-based study over 46 years. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;52:412-20.
- Goodson NJ, Wiles NJ, Lunt M, Barrett EM, Silman AJ, Symmons DP. Mortality in early inflammatory polyarthritis: cardiovascular mortality is increased in seropositive patients. Arthritis Rheum. 2002;46:2010-9.
- 40. García Díaz JD, López de GA, Díez-Pérez de la Vacas MI, Cuende QE, Sánchez AA. Determinants of carotid subclinical atherosclerosis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. A case-control study. Med Clin (Barc). 2008;130:210-2.
- González-Gay MA, González-Juanatey C, Martín J. Inflammation and endothelial dysfunction in rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2006;24:115-7.
- 42. Del PF, Lagana B, Lai S, Nofroni I, Tinti F, Vitale M, et al. Response to anti-tumour necrosis factor alpha blockade is associated with reduction of carotid intimamedia thickness in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2007;46:1111-5.
- Baecklund E, Iliadou A, Askling J, Ekbom A, Backlin C, Granath F, et al. Association of chronic inflammation, not its treatment, with increased lymphoma risk in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;54:692-701.
- 44. Pincus T, Callahan LF, Sale WG, Brooks AL, Payne LE, Vaughn WK. Severe functional declines, work disability, and increased mortality in seventy-five rheumatoid arthritis patients studied over nine years. Arthritis Rheum. 1984;27:864-72.
- 45. Wells G, Boers M, Tugwell P. Low disease activity state in rheumatoid arthritis: concepts and derivation of minimal disease activity. Clinical Experimental Rheumatology. 2006;24(6 Suppl 43):S9.
- 46. Kievit W, Fransen J, Oerlemans AJ, Kuper HH, Van der Laar MA, De Rooij DJ, et al. The efficacy of anti-TNF in rheumatoid arthritis, a comparison between randomised controlled trials and clinical practice. Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:1473-8.
- 47. Breedveld FC, Weisman MH, Kavanaugh AF, Cohen SB, Pavelka K, van VR, et al. The PREMIER study: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trial of combination therapy with adalimumab plus methotrexate versus methotrexate alone or adalimumab alone in patients with early, aggressive rheumatoid arthritis who had not had previous methotrexate treatment. Arthritis Rheum. 2006;54:26-37.
- 48. Emery P, Breedveld FC, Hall S, Durez P, Chang DJ, Robertson D, et al. Comparison of methotrexate monotherapy with a combination of methotrexate and etanercept in active, early, moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis (COMET): a randomised, double-blind, parallel treatment trial. Lancet. 2008;372:375-82.
- 49. Van der Kooij SM, De Vries-Bouwstra JK, Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, Van ZD, Kerstens PJ, Gerards AH, et al. Limited efficacy of conventional DMARDs after initial methotrexate failure in patients with recent onset rheumatoid arthritis treated according to the disease activity score. Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:1356-62.
- Aletaha D, Funovits J, Keystone EC, Smolen JS. Disease activity early in the course of treatment predicts response to therapy after one year in rheumatoid arthritis patients. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;56:3226-35.
- Aletaha D, Funovits J, Breedveld FC, Sharp J, Segurado O, Smolen JS. Rheumatoid arthritis joint progression in sustained remission is determined by disease activity levels preceding the period of radiographic assessment. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;60:1242-9.
- 52. Smolen JS, Han C, van der Heijde DM, Emery P, Bathon JM, Keystone E, et al. Radiographic changes in rheumatoid arthritis patients attaining different disease activity states with methotrexate monotherapy and infliximab plus methotrexate: the impacts of remission and TNF-blockade. Ann Rheum Dis. 2009;68:823-7.
- 53. Bejarano V, Quinn M, Conaghan PG, Reece R, Keenan AM, Walker D, et al. Effect of the early use of the anti-tumor necrosis factor adalimumab on the prevention of job loss in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2008;59: 1467-74.
- 54. Puolakka K, Kautiainen H, Mottonen T, Hannonen P, Korpela M, Hakala M, et al. Early suppression of disease activity is essential for maintenance of work capacity in patients with recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis: five-year experience from the FIN-RAC0 trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;52:36–41.
- 55. Rantalaiho V, Korpela M, Hannonen P, Kautiainen H, Jarvenpaa S, Leirisalo-Repo M, et al. The good initial response to therapy with a combination of traditional disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs is sustained over time: the eleven-year results of the Finnish rheumatoid arthritis combination therapy trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2009;60:1222-31.

- 56. Luqmani R, Hennell S, Estrach C, Birrell F, Bosworth A, Davenport G, et al. British Society for Rheumatology and British Health Professionals in Rheumatology Guideline for the Management of Rheumatoid Arthritis (The first 2 years). Rheumatology (Oxford). 2009;48:436-9.
- Saag KG, Teng GG, Patkar NM, Anuntiyo J, Finney C, Curtis JR, et al. American College of Rheumatology 2008 recommendations for the use of nonbiologic and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum. 2008;59:762-84.
- St. Cohen S, Cannon GW, Schiff M, Weaver A, Fox R, Olsen N, et al. Two-year, blinded, randomized, controlled trial of treatment of active rheumatoid arthritis with leflunomide compared with methotrexate. Utilization of Leflunomide in the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis Trial Investigator Group. Arthritis Rheum. 2001;44:1984-92.
- 59. Siddiqui MA. The efficacy and tolerability of newer biologics in rheumatoid arthritis: best current evidence. Curr Opin Rheumatol. 2007;19:308-13.
- 60. St Clair EW, van der Heijde DM, Smolen JS, Maini RN, Bathon JM, Emery P, et al. Combination of infliximab and methotrexate therapy for early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized, controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2004;50:3432-43.
- Bathon JA, Martin RW, Fleischmann RM, Tesser JR, Schiff MH, Keystone EC, et al. A comparison of etanercept and methotrexate in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med. 2000;343:1586-93.

- 62. Keystone E, Heijde D, Mason D, Landewe R, Vollenhoven RV, Combe B, et al. Certolizumab pegol plus methotrexate is significantly more effective than placebo plus methotrexate in active rheumatoid arthritis: findings of a fifty-two-week, phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallelgroup study. Arthritis Rheum. 2008;58:3319-29.
- 63. Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, De Vries-Bouwstra JK, Allaart CF, Van ZD, Kerstens PJ, Hazes JM, et al. Clinical and radiographic outcomes of four different treatment strategies in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis (the BeSt study): a randomized, controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum. 2005;52:3381-90.
- 64. Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, de Vries-Bouwstra JK, Allaart CF, Kerstens PJ, Grillet BA, de Jager MH, et al. Patient preferences for treatment: report from a randomised comparison of treatment strategies in early rheumatoid arthritis (BeSt trial). Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:1227-32.
- 65. Van der Heijde DM, Weinblatt ME, Landewe R, Goel N, Wells AF, Fleischmann R. Inhibition of progression of structural damage by week 16 with certolizumab pegol: results from the RAPID trials, Arthritis and Rheumatism 58 (Abstract Suplement), 51, 2008.
- 66. Van Tuyl LH, Plass AM, Lems WF, Voskuyl AE, Dijkmans BA, Boers M. Why are Dutch rheumatologists reluctant to use the COBRA treatment strategy in early rheumatoid arthritis?. Ann Rheum Dis. 2007;66:974-6.